New-York Daily Times.

VOL. V.....NO. 1524. NEW-YORK, THURSDAY, AUGUST 7, 1856. PRICE TWO CENTS.

STATE CONVENTIONS.

The American and Fillmore Democratic State Conventions.

The Same Electoral Ticket for Both.

STRONG RESOLUTIONS, SPEECHES, &C.

Special Dispatch to the New-York Daily Times.

HARTFORD, Wednesday, August 6.

The American State Convention met at Touro Hall, in this City, at 10 o'clock A. M., and was organized by the appointment of Governor DUTTON for President, eight Vice-Presidents, and O. H. PLATT and S. S. SMITH as Secretaries.

Mr. DUTTON, on taking the chair, addressed the Convention strongly and earnestly in favor of union and harmony, and against the usurpation of power.

The President of the United States is regarded in Kansas, saying that it was established. He spoke in the highest terms of Mr. FILLMORE's qualifications for the Presidency.

A Committee was appointed to report, at the afternoon session, an Electoral ticket.

AFTERNOON SESSION.

The Convention came to order at 4 o'clock P. M., and while waiting for the report of the Committee, listened to eloquent speeches from Hon. O. S. FERRY, Judge KNAPP and others.

The following Electoral Ticket was then reported:

AT LARGE.

HENRY DUTTON, New-Haven; JULIUS CATLIN, Hartford.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

THOMAS DEARY, W. A. BUCKINGHAM, ELIJU SPENCER, HARVEY W. COLE.

The Electoral ticket was adopted amid great excitement and rejoicing, three rousing cheers being given forth.

The Committee on Resolutions reported the following, which were unanimously adopted:

Resolved, That the American Party of Connecticut adhere to the principles expressed in their platform adopted June 18, 1855, and that in the permanent interest of National affairs, we regard as essential to the prosperity of our Republic, the preservation of Freedom in Kansas, the Union, and Freedom of the Northern Territory from the encroachments of Slavery.

Resolved, That in Messrs. FILLMORE and WILSON we recognize true exponents of the principles which are dear to the freemen of Connecticut, and we confidently recommend them as the people of our beloved Commonwealth as men who, when placed in the Chief Executive offices of the nation, will restore to the Administration of the General Government the purity exported by WASHINGTON, MADISON and JEFFERSON.

Resolved, That we cordially approve of the Electors nominated by this Convention, and that through the ballot-box in November next we will give them an opportunity to cast their electoral votes as to preserve the integrity of American Institutions in the hand of free men and equal rights.

The People's State Convention.

The People's State Convention met at American Hall at 10 o'clock, J. R. Hon. E. K. FERRY, President.

Mr. FERRY, on taking the Chair, made a forcible speech in favor of a free and decided union of all in support of Freedom and the right.

The Convention was large, and was controlled by a strong conservative feeling, which went for harmony and union on a ticket that would unite all the opposition of Democracy.

The Convention adjourned by nomination the ticket nominated by the Americans—a Committee from the two Conventions having met and agreed upon the same ticket.

There was in attendance at the two Conventions nearly one thousand delegates, a large number of whom have heretofore voted with the Democrats, but who now go for FILLMORE and Freedom. Connecticut is now committed sure for FILLMORE.

The Convention adjourned to meet this evening at the Fremont hall, to listen to speeches from Hon. EDWARD DICKINSON, Hon. G. M. BRADFORD, of Massachusetts, and Judge SCHENCK, of Ohio. Five thousand people were present, and the greatest enthusiasm prevailed. A. N. C.

NEW-JERSEY DEMOCRATIC STATE CONVENTION.

Wm. C. Alexander Nominated for Governor.

An Electoral Ticket Selected.

MORNING SESSION.

The State Convention of the Democrats of New-Jersey was held at Trenton yesterday, to nominate a candidate for Governor and an Electoral ticket. Every County except Cape May was represented. The representation was one Delegate for every 100 votes, and one for every fraction over 50 votes, according to the vote cast at the last election for Governor. The Convention met at Temperance Hall at 12 o'clock. The large Hall was crowded with delegates and others, and many could not gain admittance. The Convention organized temporarily by choosing SAMUEL LEECH, Esq., of Burlington, as President; DAVID MAAS, of Morris, as Secretary, and ANDREW MEAD, of Passaic, as Assistant Secretary.

A Committee on Resolutions, composed of one from each County, was chosen to present resolutions to the Convention. It was also determined that all contested cases should be decided by the delegation from the counties in which they occur. The Committee hereupon retired to deliberate, the Convention meanwhile, that a mass meeting would convene at the Riding-School at 2 o'clock, and that Gen. JOHN A. DIX, of New-York, Gen. ROGERS, of Pennsylvania, and Hon. SAUNDERS, of Tennessee, had arrived and would address them. Also, that JOHN VAN BUREN and ROBERT J. WALKER were expected.

The Committee on Credentials and Rules soon afterwards came in and reported their list of permanent officers, viz:

President—CHARLES STEVENSON, of Warren; 8 Vice Presidents from each County, and five Secretaries. The report was adopted. The Committee also reported in favor of adopting the rules of the last Democratic State Convention as the rules of this Convention, which was agreed to.

The Convention then adjourned until 2½ P. M.

AFTERNOON SESSION.

The Convention reassembled at 2½ o'clock, and was called to order by Mr. LEECH.

Hon. GEO. MUNDY, of Pennsylvania, and Hon. Gen. VAN, of New-Jersey, being present, were invited to take a seat upon the platform. They were saluted with cheers.

Hon. CHARLES STEVENSON, President of the Convention, then appeared, and was demonstrately received. He was greeted with applause. He addressed the Convention briefly, expressing his intention he felt at being called upon to preside over the Convention. For the first time in the history of the country, he said, treason to democracy had succeeded in an organized party. It was the duty of democrats to rebuke over the determination of democrats who—whether chosen to represent the sentiments of the party—would do no credit to the party. (At this point Gov. DUTTON entered to a chair the most cheered.)

Col. MEADE, of Somerset, in behalf of the committee on Resolutions, reported the following, which were read by Judge MAAS:

1. *Resolved*, That we have the fullest confidence in the ability, integrity, and patriotism of JAMES BUCHANAN, the President of the United States, and that we will uphold the hands of our President, and therefore we will uphold the Democratic Platform, and resolutely stand by the Constitution as the bulwark of our liberties.

2. *Resolved*, That we are opposed to the sectional agitation of the Slavery question, and we will uphold the Constitution of the United States, and the Union of the States, and we will uphold the Democratic Platform, and resolutely stand by the Constitution as the bulwark of our liberties.

3. *Resolved*, That we are opposed to the sectional agitation of the Slavery question, and we will uphold the Constitution of the United States, and the Union of the States, and we will uphold the Democratic Platform, and resolutely stand by the Constitution as the bulwark of our liberties.

4. *Resolved*, That we condemn the sectional strife which the Abolitionists of the North have created, and the fierce war which they, and their allies, the Republicans, are now waging upon the institutions of the South; that we condemn alike the nullification of Massachusetts and the nullification of South Carolina, as unreasonable in character and deplorable in results; that we condemn the lawless violence which invaded Kansas, the vindictiveness which broke through the sanctity of the Senate chamber, and the intemperate language and conduct which provoked these grossly illegal acts; and we point to the palpable disregard of law by the extremists of the South, as the certain fruit of a like disregard by the extremists of the North.

5. *Resolved*, That we are not the advocates of slavery in any form or in any place; but we do not feel it our duty to legislate upon this subject for other States or communities who are competent to legislate for themselves; that we believe in the doctrine of self-government and of equal rights as did our fathers of the Revolution, and we leave to the people of the Territories the exercise of their undoubted right to shape their own institutions, make their own laws, and seek for prosperity in their own way, unencumbered only by the provisions of the Federal Constitution.

6. *Resolved*, That this glorious Union, to establish which our fathers peiled and bled, which has been to the cause of freedom and humanity a pillar of cloud by day and of fire by night, seen and read of all men; leading us on to the highest pinnacle of national greatness and glory; surrounding us with all the elements of prosperity and happiness, and promising to the oppressed nations of the earth the light of a better day to dawn for them—was founded by our fathers in paternal concord and love, has been preserved to the present time by the exercises, among the members thereof, of mutual forbearance, affection and regard, and can only endure by cherishing those patriotic and holy emotions which bind members of the same family together as a brotherhood; ready, over all obstacles and upon all occasions, at any sacrifice, to advance the common good.

7. *Resolved*, That the Republican party, by their violent attempt to array the North against the South, by their unceasing and bitter denunciations of Southern men, Southern institutions and Southern laws; by their scornful repudiation of Southern parties, Southern allies and Southern affinities; by their magnifying the Slavery question above all other questions; by their contemptuous disregard of the Federal Constitution, and their appealing to a higher law "by their taunting shout, that upon this issue "Sharpe's rifles" are the highest law; by their attempt to combine the united political strength of sixteen States in the avowed effort to crush out the political influence of the other fifteen States; by their struggle to seize into Northern hands the whole power of the Federal Government, to the complete exclusion of the South—are treading with martial step and colors flying, *the high road to disunion, civil war and anarchy*; and should be regarded by every lover of his country as a band of enemies to liberty, the Constitution and the Union.

8. *Resolved*, That we are, as heretofore, opposed to that proscription exercised by the so-called American Party, based upon the accident of birth, or the selection, of religious creed. We therefore hereby adopt the resolution upon this subject passed by the Democratic National Convention at Cincinnati.

9. *Resolved*, That we hail with joy the accession of many of the prominent and talented, and large masses of the rank and file of the "Old-Line Clay and Webster Whigs," whose patriotic devotion to liberal principles, the Constitution and the Union, made no place of safety amid the troubled waters of political strife but in the Democratic Ark; and we welcome them as the honorable antagonists of the past, and the companions of the present hour.

10. *Resolved*, That the State policy of the Democratic party is to encourage agriculture; to promote and advance the interests of those engaged in manufactures and the mechanical arts; to stimulate and shelter industry; to diffuse wealth; to make education universal; to elevate the morals and promote the happiness of the whole community; and relying upon the justness of our cause, and the overruling wisdom of Providence, we go into the present contest with a confident assurance that the victory will be ours.

After the reading was finished, they were unanimously adopted.

Nominations for Governor were then read, as follows:

WM. C. ALEXANDER, of Mercer; JOHN W. TAYLOR, of Burlington; JOSEPH C. POTTS, of Mercer; E. R. V. WRIGHT, of Hudson; JOSEPH KILLE, of Salem; CHARLES SCHELLER, of Mercer.

The name of Dr. SCHELLER was then withdrawn by PHILEMON DICKINSON. A communication from Gen. E. R. V. WRIGHT was read to the Convention by EDWARD CHARLES, of Hudson, stating that his name had not been presented to the Convention by request, and he asked its withdrawal. After several Counties had been called and their votes cast for ALEXANDER, the name of JOHN W. TAYLOR was withdrawn. Two more Counties voted for ALEXANDER, when Mr. POTTS' name was withdrawn. Another County voted firmly for ALEXANDER, when the name of Mr. KILLE was withdrawn and the motion adopted that WILLIAM C. ALEXANDER was unanimously nominated, amid loud and long continued applause. A Committee of five was appointed to inform Mr. ALEXANDER of his nomination and conduct him to the mass meeting.

The Convention proceeded to make the electoral ticket:

SENATORIAL DELEGATES.

EDWIN A. STEVENS, of Hudson, and GEORGE F. FORT, of Ocean, were nominated by acclamation.

CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATES.

I—JOSEPH KILLE, of Salem.
II—EBENEZER S. LITTLE, of Monmouth.
III—DAVID VAN VEZEY, of Hunterdon.
IV—HENRY A. FORD, of Morris.
V—ALVIN HEDGES, of Essex.

The Convention then passed a vote of thanks to its officers, and adjourned.

MASS MEETINGS.

At 2 o'clock P. M., a mass meeting assembled in the Riding-School—Hon. JOSEPH C. POTTS, of Mercer, President. When the Convention adjourned the members repaired to the mass meeting. There were present at one time at this meeting between 2,000 and 3,000 persons. Speeches were made by SANFORD A. SEARS, of Tennessee, Hon. WM. BIGLER, of Pennsylvania, and JOHN VAN BUREN.

Pennsylvania Democratic State Convention.

CHAMBERSBURG, PENN., Wednesday, Aug. 6.

The Democratic State Convention met to-day, and nominated JOHN ROWE, Surveyor General, to fill the vacancy.

Amusements.

Mr. BUCKSTONE's little piece, "Milly, or the Maid with the Milking-Pail," was produced at the SUMMER GARDEN (Wallack's Theatre) on Monday, and repeated last evening, with complete success. It is one of those neatly told and cleanly developed stories which French writers delight in weaving into dramatic form. Mr. BUCKSTONE borrowed it from "Our Neighbors," and Mrs. FITZWILLIAMS gave it a healthful, robust English life. Miss ROSENSTOCK, who assumes the role of that natural and excellent character, shows how much she can appreciate the [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] a favorable impression as her [illegible] in this respect. Mr. JOHNSON as Dixon, Mr. J. R. WEST, is careful but somewhat stiff; [illegible] were sufficiently good. The piece is [illegible] well dressed, and nicely done in every respect.

WALLACK'S GARDEN.—The best comedian in New-York, Mr. WINSTON, is playing an engagement here with the whimsical title of his name. His the house to overflow. Last night he played in the "Bell Clapper," which was nearly the same as at the Gardens [illegible] house, and quite sufficient for the place. [illegible] afternoon which should not be forgotten [illegible] was crowded, and Mr. WINSTON had a fair [illegible] of which he may expect when he comes [illegible] Theatre, and especially in a period [illegible]

TO THE PUBLIC.

The following statements, which have been delayed an entire week because of the absence of Mr. BURLINGAME, (who left this city on the evening that his publication was made, and has not yet returned,) are made in justice to ourselves and a gentleman who, at different times, has been guided by our counsel. As far as relates to the objectionable portions of Mr. BURLINGAME's speech, our connection with the matter has terminated.

THOMAS H. BOCOCK,
JOSEPH LANE.

AUGUST 5, Tuesday evening.

A CARD.

No man could be more averse than I am to appearing before the public in relation to matters personal in their character; and nothing could induce me to do so in this case but the conviction that justice requires it.

Mr. BURLINGAME, of Massachusetts, in the card which he published in the *National Intelligencer* of the 29th July, commits several errors, one of which seems to demand correction from me.

He attempts to produce the impression that I, in behalf of Col. BROOKS, of South Carolina, and at his instigation, endeavored by means, sometimes confidential, to persuade him to make some explanation which would satisfy Col. BROOKS' friends with his failure to call on him for satisfaction for the offensive language used in his speech of the 21st of June last.

To show that I have not mistaken his purpose, I make the following quotation from his card. Speaking of language cut out of a note of mine to him, he says: "These few words disclose the desire of Mr. BROOKS, through persuasion, to get something which might satisfy his friends for neglecting me in his liberal calls on gentlemen for personal satisfaction." Now, I assert that nothing could be more unjust to Mr. BROOKS, and nothing more untrue than such a construction of what passed between Mr. BURLINGAME and myself.

The communications which I made to Mr. BURLINGAME from Mr. BROOKS were: 1st, His desire to know whether Mr. BURLINGAME would accept a call for satisfaction from him; 2d, That he (Mr. BROOKS) was satisfied with Mr. BURLINGAME's explanation, made in answer to the first question; 3d, That Mr. BROOKS desired to have a written statement, containing the substance of that explanation, to be used in his own justification; and that, on failure to obtain such a one, he would take some decided step in relation to the matter.

Now it never occurred to me that these communications, though made with all the courtesy of which I was capable, would be regarded as particularly persuasive in their character or in the circumstances under which they were made, by the public judge. When I first waited on Mr. BURLINGAME for Mr. BROOKS, my personal acquaintance with him was very slight, and the accounts which had reached me of his views and expressions on public questions were not such as to commend him to public man. I certainly had no thought of offering him persuasions as to his personal affairs. I had a simple question to propound, and all I sought was to do it in a courteous and becoming manner. It seemed to me proper that it should be done privately and quietly, rather than with a flourish of trumpets and in the midst of a crowd. That it was to be entirely confidential I did not dream, because such an idea was inconsistent with the object of my mission. I took some note of my verbal message, but did not confer with me. That answer might have been as plain and brief as Mr. BURLINGAME chose to make it. He might have said simply that he would accept, or that he would not accept. But, instead of this, he chose to enter at large into an exposition of his feelings towards Mr. BROOKS, and of the views which governed him in making his speech. In doing this he requested that many things said by him should be considered confidential, and these I have therefore not made public. I will say, however, as he seems to think that their publication would benefit him, that he can remove the seal of confidence whenever he chooses. All that was said by either party, with the time and places of our subsequent meetings, may all come forth at his call. I know no word that I spoke which now, as a gentleman and as the friend of Mr. BROOKS, I would not be perfectly willing should be known to the world.

In his last card, Mr. BURLINGAME has said:

"I owe it to truth to say that, from what I had heard and seen of him prior to his assault on Mr. SUMNER, I had formed a high opinion of him; and that not, which I have properly stigmatized, I did think must have been aberrant to his better nature. In remembrance of my opinion of him, and feeling that through his conduct I could still detect traces of a gallantry which some day might cause him to condemn, as heartily as others, his assault on Mr. SUMNER, I held a larger charity for him than did not a few of my friends. Indeed, I have been blamed for intimating the opinion that, in spite of that act, he was yet a brave man. Even as late as my conversation with Gen. LANE, when he stated that Mr. BROOKS desired a speedy meeting, I felt a glow of admiration for him as a gallant gentleman; but I was not prompt. The expression of kindness for him, in which, following a proclivity of my heart, I had indulged, were entirely misplaced."

It was these "*expressions of kindness*" for Mr. BROOKS now publicly avowed by Mr. BURLINGAME, which in our first interview tended so much to satisfy me that there ought not to be a hostile meeting between them. Then it was that I suggested to Mr. BURLINGAME the propriety of saying nothing on the subject, because false rumors in relation to settlements often reopen difficulties.

As Mr. BURLINGAME admits that on our first interview I propounded a question from Mr. BROOKS which was categorical in its character, it clearly follows that the door was opened for a categorical answer on his part. He, however, voluntarily chose a different course. What his answer, in substance, was, appears to be conceded in the cards both of Mr. BURLINGAME and Mr. BROOKS. He said "that he (Mr. BURLINGAME) had no unkind feelings whatever for Mr. BROOKS, but, on the contrary, regarded him as a man of courage and a man of honor; that while he disapproved of the assault on Mr. SUMNER, and felt bound as a Massachusetts man to condemn it, he had designed to discriminate between the man and the act, and had said no more on the subject than his sense of representative duty required. Under these circumstances, he was surprised to find that Mr. BROOKS had taken exception to anything which he had said. In relation to the hostile that had been thrown out in his name, he stated that they had not been authorized by him; but, on the contrary, he very strongly condemned both them and their authors."

This is the substance of his answer, and in strength of expression is certainly not overstated. It omits many mere expressions of good feeling to which he gave utterance. The mere intention to be parliamentary was not then insisted on. The phrase even was not mentioned.

Believing Mr. BURLINGAME to be sincere, I advised Mr. BROOKS, without hesitation, that he could pursue the matter no further. He agreed to accept the answer as satisfactory. Mr. BURLINGAME expressed himself gratified at that result. And I rejoiced to believe that the affair was honorably ended. To meet any contingency which might arise, however, I requested a statement of the interview the next day after it happened.

Some days after this Mr. BROOKS informed me that, in consequence of remarks which he heard were common in certain circles about Washington, he considered it proper that he should have a written statement of the settlement as it had occurred. Regretting that the necessity for it had arisen, I prepared the statement at length, and on the day named in the cards of these gentlemen, I submitted it to Mr. BURLINGAME, with the request that he would look over it and say whether he would approve it.

When he had kept it at least a half-hour, I applied to him to know the result of his examination. With a strong compliment to my fairness, he said it was correct. In his recent card he says: "When I had read the first part I thought it did me justice." I can but presume that "the first part," so designated by Mr. BURLINGAME, included his answer to Mr. BROOKS' question. He would hardly have stopped short of examining that before answering as to the correctness of the statement.

When he informed me that it was correct, he expressed a desire to retain it longer in order to show it to a friend.

That evening he sought an interview with me to say that he had looked at my statement more carefully, and while he could deny the correctness of an expression I attributed to him in it, yet, by the expression of other words which had been used, it placed him in an improper position, said he, if published. It would probably do him great injury at home.

[illegible] attempted to serve upon such alterations [illegible] preserve the substance of the statement [illegible] slight, but were unable. [illegible]

[illegible] note, together with the first [illegible] to insert the word "[illegible]" in the [illegible] in no way private, while he seems to [illegible] his answer to Mr. BROOKS's first question [illegible] confidential. I forbear here to suggest reasons for such a statement. Strange, however, as it [illegible], there is not a character for honor in [illegible] the use of that note. He extracts from the [illegible] sentences to produce the impression [illegible] BROOKS, through me, was persuading him [illegible] compliance, when the note itself, upon its [illegible] Mr. BROOKS in an entirely different position [illegible] beginning of it I authorized to him [illegible] morning heard from a friend of Mr. B[illegible]

[illegible] position in the position of receiver and determination, not of persuasion. This I say, would be evident from the whole note. When I said that Mr. BURLINGAME might get no objection to saying, in answer to that note, that he did not intend to reflect on Mr. BROOKS personally, I merely meant to say that he ought not to object to put in writing what he had so often declared to me verbally. He says truly that he did not manner in writing. I confess I was struck with the apparent ease with which he avoided writing. Had he written, as he in frankness might well have done, all question about his position then would now be put to rest. It would be comfortable to recur to this clear.

He came to my seat and said, not what he states in his card, but simply that he would like to consider with a friend, and he asked if I would have any objection to waiting in that conference.

I made no suggestion, but suggested that I might have a personal friend along, also. The meeting took place very speedily thereafter in one of the rooms of the Capitol; but my friend having previously left, I was alone with Mr. BURLINGAME.

In some conversation which occurred between Mr. BROOKS and myself that day, he had said that he was willing to accept any paper from Mr. BURLINGAME which disavowed the purpose to reflect on his courage or honor; and in the interview at the Capitol the only difference of difficulty was that I contended for the disclaimer in that very language, because Mr. BURLINGAME's disclaimer in our former interview had gone fully to that extent. He contended that his disclaiming as he was willing to do, any intention to reflect on the "personal character" of Mr. BROOKS, he included everything that makes up character, and that therefore it was unnecessary to insert the word honor expressly; and he stated that, in consequence of the probable effect of it elsewhere, he preferred not to use that word. After some discussion, being unable to agree with Mr. BROOKS upon the phraseology to be employed, I rose to leave the room, remarking that it appeared clear that the matter could not be arranged satisfactorily between us, and that I regretted to believe that nothing more left but that Mr. BROOKS send him a note, which he would probably do in the course of that evening. Mr. BURLINGAME asked me to pause, and said that he preferred that the matter should not go out of my hands, and himself suggested a further conference at his own room that evening. This course of fact has been made necessary by the partial and exclusive giving out of Mr. BURLINGAME about his refusal to allow the word honor to be inserted in his last disclaimer.

The conference called at Mr. BURLINGAME's room was attended by himself, Mr. Speaker BANKS, Mr. BOCOCK, and myself. In opening up the matter on that occasion, I gave the history of my whole connection with it, and especially the first message of Mr. BROOKS, Mr. BURLINGAME's answer, the drawing up of the original statement, the feeling placed in Mr. BURLINGAME's hand, his dissatisfaction, his stopping for some time, that it was correct, and his subsequent objection to it on the ground that the grouping of his expressions in regard to Mr. BROOKS and such as, if published, would do him an injury. I then stated the history of our subsequent efforts to agree upon something which would be equivalent substantially to the first statement, and which might be free from the objection made to the "*grouping*" of that one—all which was admitted by Mr. BURLINGAME. This brought up the question whether the word "honor" should be inserted, as I contended that it ought to be. Mr. BURLINGAME again urged that to disclaim any purpose to reflect on the "personal character" of Mr. BROOKS covered everything. Mr. BANKS thought it sufficient; and Mr. BOCOCK concurred with them. I urged that it appeared to me enough, but I apprehended that Mr. BROOKS would take a different view of it.

Mr. BURLINGAME suggested that Mr. BOCOCK and myself, as friends of Mr. BROOKS, should advise him that it was sufficient, and that he would probably acquiesce in our opinion.

The paper drawn up, as before stated, was taken by Mr. BOCOCK and myself to Mr. BROOKS, and we advised him that he ought to accept it as satisfactory. Several other friends being called in, all united in that opinion, and Mr. BROOKS accepted it accordingly.

It was published as an appendix to his speech, and reviewed before the public several days, when Mr. BURLINGAME's card appeared, which drew it.

This proposed a difficulty which I had been glad to believe never would be known to the world. Its subsequent history is before the public. With that I have little to do. It is different, however, to state that the statement in Mr. BURLINGAME's explanation as published by Mr. BROOKS was not true, it was unmanly in him to assent to it or to give it; if it was true, it was weak and uncandid to withdraw it. As to its proper construction, I saw no room for doubt.

Mr. BURLINGAME had expressed himself to me, as appears from his card he might have expressed himself to others, so kindly towards Mr. BROOKS, and so free from any purpose to reflect on his personal character, as, in my judgment, to have rendered it inhuman in Mr. BROOKS, under that explanation, to have pursued him further.

Mr. BURLINGAME's card is erroneous, then, in saying that in his verbal answer to my note of the 15th of July he suggested "that as the matter seemed complicated, it might be better for us both to hold our future conversations in the presence of others." Instead of this, he merely remarked that he wished to advise with his friend, and asked if I would have any objection to meeting in conference with them. It is erroneous, further, in saying that I thought Mr. BROOKS would deem his position unsatisfactory, "*as it yielded nothing*." I used no such expression. It would have been inappropriate. I never asked him to retract, or yield anything which he had said. I had merely asked if he would accept Mr. BROOKS's call. To this question he interposed an explanation; and the only question was as to the sufficiency of that explanation, and whether, at made at one time, it was the same which had been made at a former time.

But the most important and grossest error of his card is his attempt to represent Mr. BROOKS as seeking, through me, to persuade him to an explanation. As already stated, this is utterly unsustained by the facts; and nobody could know anything better than Mr. BURLINGAME knew these facts at the time he penned his card.

It appeared to me that justice to Mr. BROOKS required this explanation at my hands. Having made it, I leave the matter in its other aspects in his hands, well knowing, as I do, that he understands full well how to take care of his own honor.

TH. S. BOCOCK.

WASHINGTON, Aug. 4, 1856.

I have examined the foregoing card of Mr. BOCOCK, and, as far as it states facts purporting to fall within my knowledge, I find it fair, candid, and strictly true. W. W. BOYLE.

AUG. 5, 1856.

Reply to Messrs. Burlingame and Campbell.

As the friend of Col. BROOKS, I feel called upon to make a statement of the facts connected with my mission to Hon. ALBERT BURLINGAME.

To the publication made by my friend, Mr. BURLINGAME has made a rejoinder which leaves at least the merit of ingenuity, and in his behalf Mr. CAMPBELL has delivered an argument. There are but few points made by Mr. BURLINGAME to which any reply is necessary, and they will be disposed of in the order which will best explain the transaction, and dispel the mist which, by special pleading, Mr. BURLINGAME has obscured them.

1st. Mr. BURLINGAME asks "if he (Col. BROOKS) was afraid to go to Canada, the nearest neutral ground, why did he not name some other place?" The answer is, that Col. BROOKS, Mr. BOCOCK, and my self, who alone were in consultation, all concurred in regarding the designation of the "Clifton House" as a mere subterfuge; and when I informed these gentlemen that upon inquiring of Mr. CAMPBELL what weapon his friend had selected, his response was, "What! you don't mean to have a *fuss*?" they, together with myself, held the naming by Mr. BURLINGAME of the "Clifton House" as a distinct and unequivocal back out, and as such we felt warranted to treat it.

(It is due to Mr. CAMPBELL to say that he disavows any recollection of the expression last quoted, though I am altogether confident that he used it, and so reported to my friend immediately afterwards.)

2d. Mr. BURLINGAME again asks, "Why did he (Col. BROOKS) linger in the District, where he was exposed to arrest?"

The answer is, that, after the receipt of Mr. BURLINGAME's note designating the "Clifton House" as the place of meeting, Colonel BROOKS and his friends deemed concealment no longer necessary. As long as my friend supposed there was any possibility of a meeting, he was beyond the reach of the officers of the law.

Colonel BROOKS and his friends regarded the naming of the "Clifton House" as a back-out, and that call for atonement for Mr. BURLINGAME's speech, was willing to grant Mr. BURLINGAME satisfaction, if he desire it in consequence of Colonel [illegible]'s published communication.

[illegible] apprehended violence and insult from [illegible] the way, and were well assured that [illegible] subjected to arrest and many inconvenience in consequence of information which [illegible] sent from this city by telegraph. Nor would [illegible] been mistaken, as the following extract, taken [illegible] a Canada paper—the Toronto Leader—[illegible] shows. The day of meeting designated [illegible] Sunday morning. The extract [illegible] Monday morning. Thus [illegible] necessary indication of "[illegible]" and "[illegible]" [illegible] by a visit to the falls to [illegible]

The challenge has been accepted by Mr. BURLINGAME, who waived the terms as follows: Second, Double Rifle, Clifton House; time, 10 o'clock, morning next; weapon, rifle. Mr. BURLINGAME is an expert rifle shot, I learn, and not left the P. M.

How many other informal [illegible] have transpired between the other, and how many pieces, has not yet transpired. Nor will the public fail to recognize in "H." a friend of Mr. BURLINGAME, when it is remembered that both my friend and myself were uninformed as to the weapon or distance, each of which seems to have been determined upon before Mr. BURLINGAME's departure from this city, notwithstanding Mr. CAMPBELL "declined any negotiation at that time having reference to weapons or premises."

I now come to the statement of Mr. CAMPBELL. The contradictions into which he has plunged are numerous and glaring.

He says "no hostile meeting was proposed by the note, and (therefore) I agreed to render the desired service," and in the next breath he adds that Mr. BURLINGAME had authorized him, in the event of our respecting to fine or place in his absence, I (Mr. CAMPBELL) should change either or both at my (his) discretion." Again, when asked by me what weapons were to be used, he "declined any negotiation at that time having reference to weapons or premises," and yet Mr. CAMPBELL asserts that Mr. BURLINGAME said to him that if my friend was anxious to "negotiate, do, he would if necessary, go even to South Carolina." If Mr. CAMPBELL really believed that "no hostile meeting was proposed," what occasion was there to leave this city?

If, on the contrary, a "hostile meeting" was understood, how could Mr. CAMPBELL regard any reference to weapons as premature? Could it have been his purpose to send my friend all the way to Canada to fight without any weapon whatever? Or did he intend him to take an armory of every description of weapon simply to negotiate?

And again, Mr. CAMPBELL was instructed to deliver the note to his friend "to Mr. BOCOCK, as soon as he could be found, this being deemed the proper course because he, (Col. BROOKS) had not named *to his note any person to receive it*." So, it appears that it was deemed improper to deliver to me the reply to a note borne by myself because my name was not mentioned in the note of my friend; and yet Mr. CAMPBELL, whose name also does not appear in the note of his friend, assumes to have been invested with plenary powers—except in the very unessential particulars of naming the weapon to be used.

The pretended willingness of Mr. BURLINGAME to "go even to South Carolina unless for a peaceful settlement," indeed is contradicted by Mr. BURLINGAME himself; for he says: "Where is a friend's country for me? Is it here where my comrades are smitten down without warning?" Here, BURLINGAME regards Bladensburg or the Delaware, Maryland, or Virginia lines, as the "enemy's country," and therefore designated Canada, it is simply ridiculous to profess a willingness to go to South Carolina, unless it was in reality to negotiate.

Mr. CAMPBELL, for political effect, charges Colonel BROOKS, by implication, with stigmatizing the entire North as mobs and assassins, &c. Colonel BROOKS never made so sweeping a denunciation, and his allusion was alone to free negroes and Republicans.

It now remains to answer Mr. CAMPBELL's argumentative propositions. He affects to scorn the "written code duello;" and yet challenges authority for the following propositions:

1st. That in changing party may, in his message, make any restrictions either as to time or place of meeting.

2d. That in selecting the place the challenged party is circumscribed, geographically, by any lines of latitude or longitude.

3d. That when the place is fixed by the party entitled to the selection, the challenger may retire from the field on his objection to distance, (if within one day's travel,) or from fear of "bailiffs and constables."

I take pleasure in giving the "authorities" to Mr. CAMPBELL, and trust that when he reads the last paragraph that I quote, he will appreciate my heavy towards his friend. In the 24 chapter, on the 12th page, of the "Code of Honor," (edited by Gov. JOHN L. WILSON, of South Carolina, which book is the only "authority" known and acknowledged in America, and adopted by clubs in England,) will be found the following paragraphs, numbered 7 and 8, which claim to have some bearing on the points in question:

"A. Upon the acceptance of the challenge the seconds make the necessary arrangements for the meeting, in which each party is entitled to a perfect equality. The old notion that the party challenged was authorized to name the time, place, distance, and weapon, has been long since exploded, not would a man of chivalric honor use such a right if he possessed it. The time must be as soon as practicable, the place such as had evidently been used where the parties are, the distance usual, and the weapons that which is most generally used.

"B. If [illegible] the time, place, or distance, or not usual in time, place, distance and weapons, do not yield the point, and tender in writing what is usual in each; and if he refuse to give satisfaction, then *your friend may post him*."

I did not tender in *writing* the "usual" place, because Mr. CAMPBELL regarded "any reference to weapons as premature," and thereby impressed me with the belief that he was invested with an adequate power in the premises. I could not make the tender to Mr. BURLINGAME in person, because Mr. CAMPBELL had said that his friend "could not be seen in the District."

To Mr. CAMPBELL's fourth proposition, which is "that under mutual agreement not to give publicity, one party is authorized to publish the affair through the press without the knowledge or consent of the other." I reply, that Mr. BURLINGAME, having denied satisfaction by declining to name a *place*, "such as had ordinarily been used *where the parties are*," Col. BROOKS had but two alternative courses—either to pass him over to my hands, as directed in the third paragraph of the code, or to expose him to the public contempt as a gentleman; which latter alternative was elected by Col. BROOKS expressly to avoid involving me as his friend.

I ask the perusal of the following correspondence, and call attention to the fact that Mr. BURLINGAME delivered a speech at "Dayton," Ohio, which is in Mr. CAMPBELL's district, on the 30th ultimo, and that there is a telegraphic communication between Washington and Dayton. JOSEPH LANE.

AUG. 5—Tuesday evening.

General Lane to Mr. Campbell.

WASHINGTON, July 30, 1856.

DEAR SIR: Colonel BROOKS returned to this place last night. I have just had my first interview with him since the appearance of your and Mr. BURLINGAME's card. You say that Mr. BURLINGAME was willing to meet Colonel BROOKS at any other place than Canada to adjust their differences. You did not tell me so, although I told you *that Canada was inconvenient*. On the contrary, you left me under the impression that Mr. BURLINGAME would not meet Colonel BROOKS at any other place than Canada.

I informed Colonel BROOKS, and advised him to give the matter no further notice. Inasmuch, however, as you now say that Mr. BURLINGAME was willing to meet Colonel BROOKS at another place, I am authorized and requested to say that he expects Mr. BURLINGAME to designate some other place that is convenient and acceptable to both parties, and awaits his answer to this suggestion.

In behalf of my friend, I am authorized to name any place of meeting within ten miles of Washington, or accept any place that either you or your friend may name within one hundred miles.

Secrecy and despatch are requested and desired.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,
Hon. L. D. CAMPBELL. JOSEPH LANE.

Mr. Campbell to Gen. Lane.

WASHINGTON, July 31, 1856—1 P. M.

DEAR SIR: I hasten to reply to the note which you handed me between 9 and 10 o'clock this morning.

On the 26th inst., Mr. BURLINGAME having returned to the city, I gave him a written statement of the facts in regard to my connection with his "difference" with Col. BROOKS, having previously shown to you that portion of the same which recites the substance of our conversation when I delivered to you Mr. BURLINGAME's note, and having made such alterations as your memory suggested.

It will be remembered that at the time you said the place was inconvenient, I replied that the note was responsive to the call, and that you had not then conferred with Col. BROOKS on the subject. I thought then, and still think, that any objection to the place should have been in writing, and had reason to believe that you entertained the same opinion, from the fact that when we separated you said you would have an interview with Col. BROOKS, and would deliver to me on the next day any communication he might deem it proper to make.

No communication was handed to me, and the only knowledge imparted to me or to Mr. BURLINGAME of Col. BROOKS' dissatisfaction as to the place designated came through the public press.

Col. BROOKS and Mr. BURLINGAME having both announced to the public that the matter was at an end, my authority terminated when I handed you the note. I now return your note, in order that you may [illegible] to communicate with Mr. BROOKS as you may deem proper.

I am, Sir, very truly yours, &c.
LEWIS D. CAMPBELL.

Hon. JOSEPH LANE, Washington.

Gen. Lane to Mr. Campbell.

WASHINGTON CITY, Aug. 1, 1856.

[illegible] of Mr. BURLINGAME, and with a view to [illegible] communicating with him, that I shall await his [illegible] or return to this city until Tuesday, [illegible] in the event of not receiving his answer, [illegible]

[illegible]

RETURNS FROM THE STATE ELECTIONS.

TUESDAY.

KENTUCKY.

LOUISVILLE, Wednesday, Aug. 6.

It is generally conceded that the State has gone Democratic—the returns so far received tending strongly in that direction. The contest is close, however, regarded as an indication of the result in November.

In this city, the contest for Judge of the Court of Appeals resulted in favor of DUVALL, the Democratic candidate, who is elected by 1,600 majority over his Know-Nothing competitor.

Lexington elects a Democratic Member by a handsome majority. The highest American vote in that city was 2,[illegible], and the highest Independent vote 676.

The Democratic majority in Scott County is 300, a gain of 270 as compared with the vote for Governor last year.

Owen County gives a Democratic majority of [illegible], a gain of 330 as compared with the election in 1855.

In Nelson County the vote is materially changed from last year—the Democrats at the present time having a majority of 250.

In Mason County the Democratic gain is very heavy—being 770 over last year, when the Know-Nothings were 60 ahead on the Gubernatorial vote. The present Democratic majority is 120, with the entire gain 777.

Oldham gives a

[illegible] then a thousand dollars will do it respectably."

We have traversed only one chamber upon one people; but we have [illegible] in a cursory review [illegible] in as two a shade of [illegible] the dining room one [illegible] tested that, and found [illegible] it with an honest countryman, whose fair proportions convinced us that Falstaff was no poet's creation. He yielded, but to take another, to which he had no more right or title, and then vented his present indignation saying, "Well, it's the strangest nerves ever I see; I was never anywhere above yet what I could set where I was a mind to."

There are plenty of dignitaries of high and low degree; old men that have bid farewell to the battle and struggle and toil of life, and wear their honors meekly; young men still struggling and striving for the bubbles of ambition, that will burst and leave them wrecked and stranded; dowager women, who have grown old also, scheming and climbing, but are not willing (we wonder why it is) to yield the field of conquest and the strife for admiration to those who come after them, quietly retiring to more sober and becoming pleasures; and there are belles dressing and panting and planning for what will bring them the same unsatisfying result. There are men blasted and reeking with the slime of dissipation and vice, who are welcome in circles the most recherché, while the ladies who bow and smile and talk sweetly, start back with horror and virtuous indignation at the appearance of a woman on whom suspicion, and suspicion only, has cast her shadow.

It takes all sorts of people to make a world, and especially to fill watering-place hotels. It is a funny world and a great country, and nowhere in this so evident as at Saratoga. Let him who would learn take counsel. OBSERVER.

MORE OF THE BIBLE UNION.

LETTER FROM REV. DOCTOR MURLEY.

SARATOGA SPRINGS, N. Y., Aug. 5, 1856.

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

I have read with care in your paper of the 26th of July ult., the official reply of the Managers of the Bible Union to my letter addressed to Rev. JOHN I. FULTON. The labored attempt to reduce the whole question as to "the present condition and practical working" of a great institution to points of mere personal interest, may serve to divert attention and perplex inquiry, but scarcely deserves to be called "a reply," while all the material allegations remain unanswered. As, however, some matters of minor importance have been unduly magnified, it may be well to notice them briefly.

My statement that "instead of their being forty individuals actually engaged in translating the New Testament, as I had understood from the Secretary, and often stated, there had not been more than twenty-three or twenty-four," is met by the statement that, while in Great Britain, I had copied and published an official statement of the Secretary, in which he says, "the number of scholars actually engaged in the service of the Union does not vary far from forty;" adding in reference to this, "In none of the above numbers do we embrace those scholars (of whom there are many) that render collateral aid;" which, so far from disproving or even contradicting my statement, fully corroborates it.

The officers now say that "the Secretary's statement was that more than twenty responsible persons had been directly engaged by the American Bible Union in the revision of the English Scriptures; and that with those whom they engaged on our suggestion, the number was between thirty and forty." "Stronger announcements than these," they add, "have never been made by the Secretary, or any other officer of the Union, so far as known to us." Yet, in a printed statement, officially indorsed by W. H. WYCKOFF, Esq., Rev. C. A. BUCKNER and others, referring to "the revision of the English Bible," it is said, "The number of revisers and assistants employed is about thirty, holding their connections in at least eight different denominations." This was published, by authority, in August, 1854, previous to which date, according to a list of the revisers taken from the records of the Bible House now in my possession, and certified as complete and correct by Rev. C. A. BUCKNER, acting Recording Secretary of the Board, the number of revisers was less than twenty.

The examples of revision, which I gave to illustrate the danger of departing from the established rule, and dispensing with the examination of other scholars, were taken from a bound volume, now in my possession, which had been stereotyped for more than a year previous, after having been sent to the Secretary of the Bible Union as "finished," in compliance with the fourth rule of the special instructions to revisers, and recommended for publication by the Committee on Versions. How this can be justly characterized as "going behind the Bible Union," and attempting such "liberties with a reviser" as to outrage "propriety," "magnanimity," and "justice"—"a course of proceeding that cannot be justified on any pretence"—surpasses my comprehension. I can dispose, in a word, of the assertion that I have endeavored "to interfere between a reviser's conscience and his work." I have at different times, when requested by a reviser, or when the occasion seemed to call for it, expressed my views as to various renderings, without any intention of dictating, or interfering the liberty of conscience. If this is considered reprehensible, what must be thought of the conduct of those signers presenting to a reviser the alteration by him of the translation of a single word in his work as a condition of its publication?

In regard to my statement, that important changes had been made in the Committees on Versions and Finance, the reply is silent in respect to the latter Committee; and, in relation to the former, it is said, "only one real change was made of members acting in that Committee. Another reviser was substituted for Rev. O. B. JUDD, LL. D." Whether the change was "real" or imaginary, the reader himself can judge, from the fact that, until the death of Dr. COXE, the Committee on Versions consisted of Rev. S. H. Cone, D. D., Rev. T. J. Conant, D. D., Rev. G. W. Bethuné, D. D., Rev. W. C. Duncan, D. D., Rev. T. Armitage, D. D., Rev. W. Norton, Rev. J. Shannon, Rev. O. B. Judd, LL. D., Rev. J. W. Satterlee, Rev. S. Baker, D. D., and W. H. Wyckoff, Esq.; and after the change referred to in my letter, it embraced only the following: Rev. S. Baker, D. D., Rev. T. Armitage, D. D., Rev. J. W. Satterlee, W. H. Wyckoff, Esq., and J. T. Smith, Esq.

I am charged with having made an attack upon Dr. CONANT and of having complained of the injury which he receives. This does great injustice both to the spirit and letter of my remarks. Towards Dr. CONANT I have no unkind feelings; and the latter, the secret use of which his friends would have stood unnoticed, had its repetition been confined to me personally. But when it is made merely as adopted to impair my influence with others, and as an unwarrantable interference in a pending investigation from which he should have stood aloof, I was compelled to speak of the circumstances which furnish the only adequate explanation of his course.

As to the known feelings of Dr. MACLAY in reference to our Bible Revision, I would simply say, that no man has ever heard me utter a word which could be tortured into disrespect to Dr. COXE or his memory. Nor am I conscious of ever having had a feeling which could prompt such a word. No man ever respected or loved him more truly than myself. But well as I loved him and knew his worth, I should think them carrying the feelings of his friends against any man by representing that man as his enemy, nor would I dare to use his testimony in vindication of myself, when such testimony could not be corroborated by other disinterested witnesses.

[illegible] be too careful for the protection of the dead.

[illegible] the expenditure from the funds of the Union for this class of employed alone, *at the rate of* between $4,000 and $5,000 per annum. The fallacy of the reply to this point makes it necessary for me to specify the salaries, while I suppress the names of the persons employed at the time of the late investigation of the Committee of ten.

Mr. ——,	at the rate of	$1,200 per annum.
Mr. ——,	at the rate of	1,000 per annum.
Mr. ——,	at the rate of	812 per annum.
Mr. ——,	at the rate of	416 per annum.
Mr. ——,	at the rate of	800 per annum.
Mr. ——,	at the rate of	624 per annum.
Mr. ——,	at the rate of	75 per annum.
Total		$4,150 per annum.

We are informed that no member of the Committee on Versions is among the revisers, and that "none will be on the final Committee." In thus presuming to declare of whom the final Committee shall or shall not consist, we have a significant admission of that assumption of power which is in the same connection so *carefully disclaimed*.

Much stress is laid in the reply, on the fact that *Dr. Maclay himself was not present* in some meetings when matters of which he speaks were transacted. The signers of this reply seem to forget that many things affirmed in this statement, and occupying no inconsiderable portion of it, transpired in a Committee of which no one of their own number but WILLIAM H. WYCKOFF, Esq., was a member.

In regard to myself, they say "He had free access to every document in the archives of the Union, and whenever he desired it, copies of them were furnished for his personal use." Whether they have all had the same opportunity and improved it with equal diligence, or have made their statements more upon information and belief, I do *not know*.

There are some other matters of minor moment, a complete *answer to which will be found in my* first letter. The most essential points in that letter have not been fairly met. I stated that extensive alterations had been made in the Greek text, and that no such work had been authorized by the Constitution or rules of the Bible Union. The alterations are admitted, and to say that they "are merely the suggestions of an individual reviser, and in no way compromise the Bible Union," or that "they have never been approved in any way by the Union—nor even published as specimens of revision," is not "a sufficient reply," inasmuch as it does not show the authority of the Board or officers to employ revisers to make such revisions of the Greek text.

Whether the character of the Bible Union is compromised by such alterations or mutilations of the Greek text made by revisers of the Union if it admits of a question, is a matter of opinion. The only essential point here is, whether the work itself is legitimate and authorized. But in the reply this is carefully evaded.

Without either denying or attempting to justify the renderings cited by me to illustrate the character of the translations made by the revisers of the Bible Union, except by declaring that "the Bible Union is in no way responsible for them," the signers of this reply say that "*if anything worse could have been discovered, it no doubt would have been published.*" Let the reader give as little heed to the imputation conveyed in this expression as I do, and remember what is hereinbefore stated, that these renderings were taken from a work, selected by the Board on the recommendation of the Committee on Versions for publication, and already stereotyped for that purpose. Then let him observe that, without denying the allegation that numerous manuscript versions have been for months and years in the hands of the Secretary, under the inspection of the Committee on Versions, without being recommended for publication, they go on, in the same connection, to say, "we hold it to be our duty to endeavor to avoid the publication of any revision which, on the whole, taking all circumstances into view, would not be beneficial," thus fastening upon us the inference that a great majority of all the revisions made for the Bible Union, being so withheld from the public, are, "on the whole," inferior to the one from which my examples were taken.

I have carefully and conscientiously refrained from making any unnecessary exposure prejudicial to the cause of truth, and I am determined to maintain this position, whatever imputation may be cast upon my motives or statements. But if any well-disposed inquirer desires further information in regard to "the more objectionable renderings," they can be given in a personal interview without the injury incident to their indiscriminate perusal.

To the averment that instead of a simple collation of authorities within the limits of the rule, I found extensive notes of a doctrinal and practical nature, alike foreign to the work of translation, inconsistent with the object of the Union, and laying the foundation for a conflict of sentiments, which belonged alone to the province of interpretation, it is replied:

The rule upon this subject is contained in the following preamble and resolutions, which Brother MACLAY might have heard, had he attended the meetings of the Board while he was President:

"Inasmuch as the Bible Union is not an ecclesiastical or theological body, and its sole object is to procure an circulate the most faithful versions of the Sacred Scriptures; and

"Inasmuch as doctrinal and theological discussions have been introduced into the notes of some of our revisers, not necessary to the translation, nor as the authorities sustaining it, but likely, if published under the sanction of the Bible Union, to give the impression that we are seeking to introduce doctrinal views rather than merely to secure a pure version of the text;

Resolved, That the introduction of such notes ought to be carefully avoided, and the revisers be requested to conform themselves to their original instructions on this subject; also,

Resolved, That whenever deviations from this course on the part of any reviser is observed, his attention be called to them, and a copy of the preamble and resolutions be sent to him, and he be requested to withdraw any notes that are not included within the design of the Union."

Here the existence of such notes, as well as their objectionable character, is fully admitted, but the officers, by erroneously representing these preambles and resolutions as containing "the rule" upon this subject, leaves the reader to infer that all such notes were introduced previous to the adoption of any rule. Whereas the only *rule*, properly so called, for the guidance of a reviser in this matter, was adopted as early as 1852, before the work of revision commenced, and incorporated with the "special instructions to the Revisers of the English New Testament." On the contrary, the above preamble and resolutions were passed at a recent meeting of the Board subsequent to the investigation of the Committee of Ten. That revisers are allowed to make such notes, notwithstanding the rule and all the resolutions against them, we are compelled to believe by what the officers elsewhere say in their reply, viz.: "It has not been customary for our Board after furnishing a reviser with a copy of our general rules to compel him to their strictest observance in every respect, as we should probably interpret them."

My position in relation to the plan of revision has been egregiously misrepresented by the officers in their reply. They say:

"Dr. MACLAY's own plan of revision was, as he now acknowledges, materially different from that devised and prosecuted by Dr. COXE and the Board, and adopted with perfect unanimity by the Bible Union. Dr. MACLAY says in his pamphlet:

"The plan of revision adopted by the Board differs materially from what seemed to me the best."

Had this been known or suspected, we seriously doubt whether the Bible Union would ever have chosen Dr. MACLAY as its President. It now appears, both from the pamphlet and from the letters which he wrote in March last to Dr. CONANT, that he was always opposed to the employment of scholars of different denominations in the revision of the New Testament.

He wished to have only one man employed by the Union, and him a Baptist; and for him to have the whole charge of the revision, and to have the privilege of employing another to assist him, if he wished.

And now the pamphlet declares that Dr. MACLAY was profoundly ignorant of the plan, and on this account he professes to abandon the whole enterprise.

Now, it is true, that before the final adoption of any plan by the Union, it was my judgment that the Revision of the New Testament should be committed to the charge of one man, and at that time the other officers of the Union were of the same opinion, and would have united in carrying out such a plan had they not failed in their endeavors to secure the man of their choice. But I never considered it essential that such a reviser should be a Baptist, or that he should be restricted in his selection of assistants to any one denomination. It, however, the plan finally adopted by the Union, be [illegible] with others, acquiesced [illegible] the appointment of Rev. Dr. CONANT, and a large number of brethren, [illegible] the most competent, publicly [illegible] in the transactions of their [illegible] And since its adoption, I have never advocated any deviation in it; on the contrary, my objections made to an alleged deviation of the plan [illegible] to deviations from it. The above extract from my letter is garbled, and [illegible] connection, represents the plain meaning of my letter [illegible] nowhere declared that Dr. MACLAY was profoundly ignorant of the plan. I am indebted to you, as to the community, who have a deep interest in the proper conduct of the public press, and the proper management of public institutions, for the unsolicited publication of my first letter. Your course has been especially serviceable to the community, from the fact that the papers and periodicals under the control of the Union have been employed in disseminating the "reply" among thousands who would otherwise have remained ignorant of the statements so unfairly represented in that reply.

Very respectfully,
ARCHIBALD MACLAY.

FROM KANSAS.

Condition of Affairs in Lawrence—Citizens Assaulted on the Highway—Outrages upon Free-State Men—A Vigilance Committee Proposed—New Developments of Squatter Sovereignty.

Special Correspondence of the N. Y. Daily Times.

LAWRENCE, Tuesday, July 29, 1856.

An uneasy quiet, boding dire ill, just now, rests upon us. Movements of great importance to the peace of our Territory are on foot, and your readers must not be at all surprised to be soon informed of another development of Squatter Sovereignty. I say an uneasy quiet now exists in Kansas, but you must not understand by this remark that things are in that state that would be called quiet with you. The great Pro-Slavery machine for grinding out our liberties, under color of law, is just at the present time inactive. Yet life and property are cheap indeed all about us. A few incidents, for the truth of which I can vouch, will *convey you an idea of our every-day life.*

CITIZENS ASSAULTED.

One of our citizens—a daguerrean artist—while riding into town, last week just at dusk, having been out on business, was met by three ruffians, knocked off his horse, robbed of every cent about him—also of his pistol—and then stretched on the ground, two holding his hands and feet, while the third one jumped upon him, stamping his body in the earth and leaving him almost senseless. He succeeded in getting help after they ran off, and to-day is in a very critical state of health. A physician attends him.

A day or two before, another of our townsmen was out some six miles, and noticed a band of several men on horseback making for him. They overtook him, and, with little or no ceremony, took his horse and pistols away and what change he had, and then let him go.

About a mile from town, last Tuesday week, a neighbor of mine, whose house is some two miles distant from my own, was awakened from his sleep by noise outside. Upon getting up and striking a light, he discovered a ruffian's face at a pane of glass in one of the front windows, and on examining the back window, saw another. He then got his boys up and began to get his arms ready, when they eloped, not till they had attempted to steal his horse, but were prevented. This matter of horse-stealing has become an alarming evil. Our Free-State friends are actually obliged to watch, by night and day, their horses. No one of them hardly has escaped losing one or more horses, taken by our enemies prowling about all the time. Last Sunday, the 27th inst., three families passed through town from the southern part of the Territory. They were formerly from Illinois, and told us their story, and seemed to tell the truth. They said they had been driven from their claims which they had been on about a year, and upon which they had made considerable improvements. They had left corn growing in the field, and had gathered up their stock and household goods, and were then on their route for Iowa. They had some thirty head of cattle along with them. They said the Pro-Slavery ruffians had given them notice to leave, and threatened them with death if they returned; that a large force of the ruffians had collected in camp, in the southern part of the Territory, and were out nightly committing depredations.

Still another outrage. An old man by the name of GILMASON, some eight miles south of us, had both his horses taken last week, and received word next day from COLEMAN, the murderer of Dow, then in the camp above referred to, that he had got his horses and that he, GILMASON, might get them if he could. This rascal COLEMAN has been a lion with the Ruffian Party and the Territorial officers ever since he killed Dow. He now leads one of the guerrilla bands which is harassing the settlers.

Goaded on by these and similar outrages, a party of men a few nights since waited upon one LANEY, whose house has been the head-quarters of the Ruffians on the Wakarusa, and where it was understood a lot of the stolen property of the sack of Lawrence was housed, and demanded a full delivery of all arms and other property belonging to Free-State men then about the premises. Seeing that resistance would avail him nothing, he permitted them to search. They did so, and captured some thirty stand of arms, including ten or twelve of the rifles taken in the Spring, at Franklin, from the teamster taking them to Lawrence.

LANEY was so terrified that the next day he had a company of troops stationed around him. So we see how the army is used. When a Pro-Slavery dépôt of stolen property is broken up, then the troops are on hand, but let a Free-State man be knocked down and robbed—even killed—all is right.

THE TOWN OF FRANKLIN AGAIN.

It will be recollected that the Free-State men cleaned this place out several weeks ago, when they retook their stolen property. Since then a company of 31 Georgians has been quartered upon them, and last Sunday week these Ruffians cruelly beat one of the citizens and kicked up a fight generally. The next night or the next night but one these Ruffians were waited upon by some gentlemen, *sub rosa* and had their head-quarters burned down literally to the ground—they vacating the ranche suddenly. This created a great stir among the Opposition. They allege that Free-State men are burning houses and turning families out of doors, &c.—the old story.

A PROPOSITION FROM THE RUFFIANS.

The Lecompton folks now propose to us in Lawrence to form a Vigilance Committee in which both parties shall join, and they propose to pattern after the California Committee. What will come of it I cannot tell, but it shows that they pretend to feel that the laws do not give sufficient security to life and property.

I said you might soon look for a new development of Squatter sovereignty. The Missourians are collecting in large forces along the line of Nebraska, and say that the Northern emigrants shall not come over, and General SMITH winks at their thus collecting. Already they have cannon up there, and they know they will not be dispersed by the troops. If they do attempt to stop our friends in that line, there will be shooting sure. General SMITH has publicly said, that if LANE came over or any large body of emigrants he would proclaim martial law and say to the Missourians that it was a free fight.

Also, the taxes are to be paid forthwith, Marshal FAKE says. Now this cannot be done without causing much trouble in Kansas as she has not yet seen.

AN ATTEMPTED ARREST BY THE UNITED STATES TROOPS.

On Monday, the 27th, Marshal FAKE marched into Lawrence with writs of attachment for five of our citizens, for contempt of Court. He had twelve Dragoons with him, but went out again without finding any one to lay hold of. It seems that a justice of the Peace had ordered Col. J. G. BERRY and others, to appear before him to testify in a case they knew nothing about. The Colonel told them he was willing to go, if they would pay his expenses, but he would not go at his own expense. Fake said he would see about it, and the parties started off. I know the Colonel quite enough to believe that, if expense was paid, he would be there. I know the Colonel was not at home when Fake came, and I know that Col. Berry had gone East, to attend his Presidency and Dayton. TRIBUNE.

[illegible] the Mayor of Buffalo [illegible] Democratic Party [illegible] in November, 1855, by the Democratic Party [illegible] virtually the same principles as now announced by it, has openly deserted the Democracy and taken a prominent position in the Know Nothing, or Anti-union Party, and has therefore ceased to represent those who honored him with their confidence and placed him in power, therefore

Resolved, That his Honor, Mayor SHEPARD, be and he is hereby is respectfully requested to resign his office, in order that a man who will fairly represent the people of this city and be true to his constituency, may be elected to this honorable and responsible position at the coming election in November.

Self-respect and a regard for the propriety of official intercourse induce me to notice and respond to the preamble and resolution, which I shall now do in as brief and comprehensive a manner as possible. Before proceeding to do so, however, it is proper that I should say that this is a task, an unwelcome task, imposed upon me by an act of a subordinate branch of the city government, not only in violation of its duties, but in a spirit of partizanship disgraceful to a purely municipal body.

When gentlemen assume to assert facts, especially when they declare them officially, it is due to others, no less than to themselves, that they should be reasonably certain of their truth. Without commenting at this time upon the propriety of introducing a partizan topic into your proceedings, I shall say a few words on the subject of the correctness of your assumptions.

It would be an easy matter for me to review the history of the Democratic organization of this *State for the last thirty years*—an organization with which I have acted hitherto for twenty years; and to show that it has always, in its representative bodies, avowed opinions which I now entertain, *and for entertaining which I am wantonly* arraigned by your honorable body. I do not deem *this necessary, however, and will therefore briefly* allude to the action of the Democratic Party of *New-York for the past year, to show the untruthfulness* of your assumptions.

The Democratic Convention, which assembled at Syracuse on the 20th of August, 1855, adopted three resolutions, (the 8th, 9th and 10th of the series,) and three only on national subjects, which are as follows:

Resolved, That we congratulate the Democracy of the Union upon the signal victories which have been recently won by their political brethren in Virginia, Tennessee, North Carolina, Alabama and Texas, and that we are encouraged by this success against the old Federal Whig Party in its last disguise, and under its true name, and hope for the success of the united Democracy of this State against its traditional enemy, under whatever organization or form of condition it may present itself.

Resolved, That while the Democracy of this State will faithfully adhere to all the compromises of the Constitution, and maintain all the reserved rights of the States, they deem this an appropriate occasion to declare their fixed hostility to the extension of Slavery into Free Territory.

Resolved, That we regard the organization of bands of armed borderers, and their intrusion into the Territory of Kansas, not as from *this side* settlers, but for the forcible subversion of the rights of its legal electors, not only as a violation of the peace of the Union and the rights of the community assaulted, but as distinctly subversive of the intent of Congress as declared in the bill organizing the said Territories, to have the people perfectly free to form and regulate their own domestic institutions in their own way, subject only to the Constitution of the United States; and that all the power of the Federal and Territorial Governments should be exerted to repress these outrages and vindicate the rights of the people thereof.

With these resolutions, so entirely opposed to the Democracy of the Union, the Softs went into the election of 1855, and made as a party organization be constituted as then contending for the principles they professed. If the delegates of the Softs had been appointed at that Convention, it might be left to the opinion of the National Convention to decide without argument whether a delegation so chosen by a party announcing such a political creed would have been entitled to admission. But no change taken place in the party creed of the Softs section of the Democracy, subsequent to August, 1855, it is scarcely to be doubted that the Committee on Credentials might have unanimously rejected their claim to seat in the National Convention.

Here is the undisguised avowal that had the delegates of the Democratic organization to which you (I refer, of course, to the so-called Democratic members of the Council) and I belonged, presented themselves to the Cincinnati Convention upon the platform upon which you and I were elected, they would have been unanimously rejected. The modifications of that platform, its entire change, its absolute and complete subserviency to the Slave Power, by the Democratic Convention which assembled at Syracuse in January last, alone secured a recognition of only one half of the rightful representatives from this State.

In view of these facts, how dare you, unless impelled by a blind partizanship, charge me with deserting my principles and my party? And where do you find an excuse for leaving the duties which the charter imposes on you, to violate the proprieties of official intercourse, and to engage in an impotent warfare with me as the Chief Magistrate of the city? Do you expect that your respectful request will be complied with? Do you expect that I, knowing my obligations to the people of this city, the generous confidence which they have reposed in me, and my earnest desire to discharge those obligations and to justify that confidence by a faithful recognition of every duty which that responsible office imposes upon me—will surrender my position as the Executive officer of the city at the dictation of officials, who seem to have forgotten, in the heat of political excitement, not only the courtesies of their station, but the ordinary proprieties of intercourse? Allow me, however, to say that I know the people of this city, and will trust to their good sense and generosity to protect me in the discharge of my official duties.

I shall continue to discharge the duties imposed upon me by the good people of this city until the expiration of my term of office, and that neither the clamor of the parasites of the National Administration, nor the impertinent suggestions of city officials will, for one moment, shake my purpose or disturb my equanimity.

F. P. STEVENS, Mayor.

John Charles Fremont—Phrenological Character and Biography.

From the American Phrenological Journal, Aug. 1856.

[In the Phrenological Journal for March, 1856, we published the following character of Colonel Fremont; and now test it to measurement by the Practicing, we republish it for the benefit of our readers, with his portrait and biography.]

Colonel Fremont has a temperament of very toughness, and extraordinary elasticity. His entire organization is one of rare consistency, and as fine in fibre as it is dense and enduring. His body and head partaking alike of these qualities, accounts for the hardihood and activity of the former, and the clearness, persistence and unbounded energy of the latter. His head, then and body, are very harmoniously proportioned, each one in itself, and each to the other. The head appears very high from the ears, indicating extraordinary firmness, with large veneration and benevolence. These faculties are so elevated and inspiring tendency of character, and a great other great achievements. Great length from the ear to the forehead is also seen, showing very large perceptive and prominent reflective organs. Thus all the organs necessary for the philosopher, the civil engineer, and the scholar in natural science, are decidedly large. Behold the prominence in the centre of the upper part of the forehead, just above where the hair commences, indicating remarkable capacity for judging of human nature at sight. This gives a most essential qualification for sounding strangers, and ruling men in emergencies, and is an indispensable requisite to success in all who would govern a school, a congregation, a manufactory, a government, a deliberative body, a ship, or an army. His organs, in fact, of Comparison are so large, and have often been signally displayed in his military enterprises over the Rocky Mountains, and other expeditions, where it was necessary to make his mind the inspiring incentive to others to put forth almost superhuman efforts, and yet subject to his direction without complaint, and ready to do anything for him and his cause. NAPOLEON, WELLINGTON, and others, have been conspicuous examples of this power to wield and rule character. Firmness, self-esteem and conscientiousness, of course, are important conditions, but these are of little avail in governing men unless the person possesses that insight into character which enables him to address each person or class according to their nature.

Self-esteem and approbativeness are large in his head, and are strongly indicated in his character in manifesting self-reliance and dignity, with a high degree of sensitiveness as to his reputation and personal honor.

In his organization are seen the elements of the patient scholar, the investigating critic, the profound metaphysician, the planner, the ambitious, honorable, energetic, thorough, reliable character, and business man.

To the foregoing we may add, that his social organs are large, giving him very strong domestic and the disposition to cling tenaciously to those for whom he forms an attachment. He is eminently endowed with adhesiveness, which inspires him with the spirit of patriotism and a deep interest in home and the amelioration of domestic life. He has a most marked individuality of character. He is not only conscientious, self-reliant, resolute and prompt in action, cool and self-possessed in situations of imminent peril, but he is remarkably persevering and determined.

In the highest excitements of triumph, or in the fiercest struggles with difficulty and opposition, his very great conscientiousness leads him to be most scrupulously just, even in respect to the least important affairs. This often makes him hesitate, lest he should speak or act wrongly.

He has a very prominent development of constructiveness and calculation, as seen in the portrait at the region of the temple and outer angle of the eyebrow; hence he could be successful in mechanical inventions, especially in engineering, and in conjunction with his numerical calculations, could exhibit most decided talent in mathematics and close consecutive reasoning.

He has such a combination of temperament and mental organization as to enable him to grasp, by a kind of intuition, the spirit of a subject; and these decisions, apparently impulsive, will bear the scrutiny of calm, philosophical investigation.

He is frank and direct in speech and conduct, but circumspect and prudent in the choice of his words, or line of action. His memory and observation are very good, and his fear of doing wrong, or incurring the displeasure of others, almost amounts to a defect, until stern duty calls him out, when he acts boldly; but when he has accomplished the purpose of his effort, he retires himself again to his quiet yet dignified seclusion.

All his portraits indicate that he inherited some of the most important traits of character from his mother, viz., his large social and religious feelings, together with those which produce great imagination and enthusiasm, combined with a delicate sense of duty, sympathy and intuitive judgment, the disposition to live for the future instead of in the past, and to rely upon an overruling Providence.

Intellectually he is more characterized for originality of thought, soundness of understanding, ability to investigate first principles, analyze discriminate and combine, than for mere quickness of observation and acuteness of language. He is remarkable for neatness and order; and everything under his observation, whether minerals or vegetables, is examined with care and controlled like clock-work. Few men have so much heroism and ability to lead and control difficult and dangerous enterprises, and fewer still exhibit so much simplicity and modesty in general intercourse with society.

LAWRENCE AND PENNSYLVANIA.—The papers are quoting with surprise the statement that a large number of the immigrants who passed the Western frontier were prevented from leaving home and settling by what. This is a fact, and the same has been true in the case of every steamer that on the Lakes, since the burning of the Star Creek. Every fortnight on the decks of the Ohio were nearly all so refused by the importers and commissioners of the city of Pittsburgh to stand, and we have frequently heard them remarking, upon the receipt of more summons than to find that the indirect influence to the Pro-Slavery party have been used at Pittsburgh. One trip of the steamer carrying about 50 passengers, 40 of whom were bound for Kansas, 10 only were allowed to land, the balance were sent back with orders to return to the East. Quite a number of the passengers were Germans.

[illegible]

FOREIGN ITEMS.

The Paris correspondence of *La Nouvelle* states that the present constitution of the Senate is to be altered by the Emperor, and that the Senate will be composed of Princes, Marshals, Admirals, Ministers of State, Bishops, Senators, Ambassadors, Senatorial Councillors, Ministers Plenipotentiary, and Ministers Plenipotentiary, and the Senate will be composed of the following members:

FRANCIS M. PETIT, President.
F. B. MAXWELL, Secretary.

Wm. R. WILLIAMS, Treasurer.
JOHN WHEELER, Treasurer.
JOSIAH CLOSE FERRIS, Jr., Treasurer.
E. F. HOBOS, Secretary.
E. G. BARNES, Secretary.
JOHN HUNTINGTON, Secretary.
JOHN WHITE, Secretary.
WM. E. ANSON, Secretary.
WM. E. ANSON, Secretary.
Rev. WM. HARRY, Secretary, &c.

THE MILITARY ACADEMY.

Report of the Board of Visitors.

New York, N. Y., June 17, 1854.

Sir: The undersigned, composing the Board of Visitors of the United States Military Academy, at West Point, N. Y., have the honor to transmit herewith, for the information of the Secretary of War, a copy of the report of the Board of Visitors of the Military Academy, for the year 1853, which the official records contained, and which is in the hands of the Board.

In an Appendix, are given the Astronomical and Tactical Laws of the United States, with extracts from the laws of the United States relative to Immigration, the importation of paupers, convicts, &c.

In every respect this work is complete, with the exception of the Tactical Laws. It ought to have been published long since, but the Board of Visitors was not in a position to supply copy for the press, and the Superintendent of the Academy, just at the time, was unable to supply the press with the matter.

Respectfully, your obedient servant,

FRANCIS M. PETIT,
President of the Board of Visitors.

To the Hon. JEFFERSON DAVIS, Secretary of War, Washington, D. C.

[illegible] In the Senate, yesterday, Mr. SEWARD introduced a bill, which was referred to the Judiciary Committee, providing for a change of venue in the Kansas treason cases. After some debate as to whether the Army Appropriation bill or the Internal Improvement bills should take precedence, the latter were considered, some amount of them passed. The bill for a Wagon Road to the Pacific was also passed. In the House, Mr. HAVEN was sworn in and took his seat. A bill was reported granting lands in Nebraska, Minnesota and Kansas for railroads, which was referred to the Committee of the Whole, and another granting a million and a half acres in Mississippi, which was passed. The Legislative, Judicial and Executive Appropriation bill was then discussed in Committee of the Whole, and, after action on a variety of amendments having reference to the composition of the existing stones in Kansas, it was reported to the House, and subsequently passed by a vote of 84 against 55—the Democrats, together with the South Americans, and DUNN, of Indiana, and BENNETT, of New-York, voting in the negative. A very full sketch of the proceedings will be found under the appropriate heads.

[illegible] Yesterday, Mr. BURTON, of South Carolina, has presented to the Senate a bill providing for any possible vacancy in the office of the chief magistrate of the Union. It provides for the contingency of their being no President of the Senate, or Speaker of the House, in existence, or eligible as to age and birth, at the time of a vacancy, by continuing the line of succession to the bench of the Supreme Court, the Chief Justice, and his associates in the order of seniority following each other. The provisions of the Constitution upon the subject would then, by the act of 1792 and the present measure, be exhausted as regards that.

In case of the death or non-election of the President, the Vice-President should fill the office.

If there be no Vice-President, the President pro tempore of the Senate should succeed.

If there be no such officer, or if he be ineligible, then the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

If the same misfortune destroy that officer, then the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States.

If that office be without an incumbent, then the senior Associate Justice who shall have been longest on the bench.

The provision thus made is probably adequate to every possible event. It is not likely that either Speaker of the House, or Chief Justice, will ever be called upon to administer the Government under the bill. The combination of calamities that should involve those duties on Judges GRIER or MCLEAN is too improbable to be discussed for a moment.

[illegible] Gov. WHITFIELD publishes an address to the people of Kansas, appealing to them against the decision of the House which deprives him of his seat as a Delegate. He contends that the action of the House was illegal, and therefore that he shall immediately return home to present himself as a candidate for election. In any proper course, we should say, let the sitting Delegate pursue.

[illegible] We publish this morning General LANE's "version" of the BROOKS and BURLINGAME affair, in the concluding chapter of that occurrence. But we do not see that it throws any new light upon the matter, or makes any material change in the testimony already before the public.

[illegible] The Democracy of New-Jersey met at Trenton, yesterday, and besides selecting an Electoral ticket, nominated WILLIAM C. ALEXANDER, of Mercer, as a candidate for the office of Governor.

[illegible] The Cincinnati *Gazette* denies on authority that Judge MCLEAN has declared for FILLMORE, and sets at rest a report that has been industriously circulated.

Presidential Vacancies.

Mr BURTON, of South Carolina, has presented to the Senate a bill providing for any possible vacancy in the office of the chief magistrate of the Union. It provides for the contingency of there being no President of the Senate, or Speaker of the House, in existence, or eligible as to age and birth, at the time of a vacancy, by continuing the line of succession to the bench of the Supreme Court, the Chief Justice, and his associates in the order of seniority following each other. The provisions of the Constitution upon the subject would then, by the act of 1792 and the present measure, be exhausted as regards that.

In case of the death or non-election of the President, the Vice-President should fill the office.

If there be no Vice-President, the President pro tempore of the Senate should succeed.

If there be no such officer, or if he be ineligible, then the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

If the same misfortune destroy that officer, then the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States.

If that office be without an incumbent, then the senior Associate Justice who shall have been longest on the bench.

The provision thus made is probably adequate to every possible event. It is not likely that either Speaker of the House, or Chief Justice, will ever be called upon to administer the Government under the bill. The combination of calamities that should involve those duties on Judges GRIER or MCLEAN is too improbable to be discussed for a moment.

A Free-Soiler in Congress from a Slave State.

The first fruit of the Republican movement, as affecting the South, has been produced in Missouri, and it is anything but promising to the hopes of the timid conservatives of the Fillmore school, who have so confidently predicted a disruption of the Union from the election of Colonel FREMONT.

Mr. FRANCIS P. BLAIR, Jr., has been returned to Congress by a handsome majority from the First, or St. Louis, District of Missouri. He was opposed by a regular Democratic Pro-Slavery candidate, and by a candidate of the American Party, both able to indicate a consistent record on the subject of the peculiar institution, and yet his victory over both has been decided. St. Louis has chosen to send to Congress a declared Free-Soiler, a member of the Republican National Executive Committee, a friend of Colonel FREMONT. After this let us have no more twaddle about the exclusively sectional character of Republicanism.

Mr. BLAIR shortly after the Pittsburg Convention of the People wrote a very able and eloquent letter indorsing the principles of that body. His denunciation of the repeal of the Missouri Compromise act, his indignant reprobation of the Party in power, and of the excesses of its Border-Ruffian allies in Kansas, exceeded in vigor and intensity the language of any so-called fanatics upon Slavery topics at the North. It was presumed that a course so manly and honorable would necessarily destroy the gallant young volunteer socially and politically. It certainly would have procured him an application of Lynch law, had he so ventured in Richmond, or Charleston, or in any other great Slave market of the South. It was with the utmost surprise, therefore, the presentation of his name for a seat in Congress was witnessed, and few, we imagine, anticipated anything but defeat as the result of such unparalleled temerity. The event is all otherwise. With all his political imperfections on his head, with his Abolitionism and disunionism—for by such names the Pro-Slavery Party willfully libel the movement to restore the Missouri line—he is selected to represent the commercial capital of the West in Congress, and authorized to oppose in the name of his constituency that wretched policy of Slave propagandism which has convulsed the Union, and reduced the Democratic Party to the contemptible faction it now exhibits.

The fact is precursive, we trust, of a different state of sentiment at the South. There are a thousand evidences out-cropping, daily, that there is a large, influential portion of the Southern people sympathizing cordially with the Free-Soil objects of the Republican movement. The courage of Mr. BLAIR, and the result of a canvass undertaken in the face of popular prejudices, supposed to be so radically seated, will stimulate others to a course equally decided, and so give expression, and shape, and body to a healthier public opinion upon all such topics. Time will give this nucleus the consistency and energy of a party, before which the iron rule of the 347,000 Slaveholders will slacken, relax, and at last disappear.

Steamboat Accidents—The Empire State.

The investigation in regard to the calamity upon the *Empire State* has not, according to the verdict, convicted anybody, whether inspector, captain, owner, or engineer, of the slightest neglect or fault. It proves, therefore, two points indisputably:

1. That the Inspectorship is a worthless imposture and mere deception, which were better abolished, and the salary saved.

2. That the traveling public should at once withdraw their confidence from a mode of travel which such faulties and anxious solicitude cannot render safe.

These inferences are inevitable. They also gather strength from other casualties of the same description, where we have been assured by juries under oath that the fatal flaw in the boiler was so situated, or of such a nature, that inspection could not detect it, or provide for the danger. Since the passage of the act creating the system of Inspectorships, scores of explosions have occurred, and we recollect no one where the Inspector has not been acquitted of blame. The rule seems, therefore, to be that the defects productive of explosions cannot be discovered by the most careful preexamination; and that inspection, by authority, is the sheerest humbuggery, and the Inspector's certificate as worthless as that of an Italian mendicant, and as little trustworthy.

As to the relative safety of steam-travel, by land or water, the conclusion is involuntary. The more positive the evidence that all possible care could not prevent such explosions as that of the *Empire State*, or such incremations as that of the *Northern Indiana*, the more profound the dread the people will have of that mode of conveyance. The verdict of innocence in each particular case pronounces the whole system guilty. The argument will be irresistible that, if such exemplary fidelity and watchfulness cannot prevent these accidents, the peril is such as prudent people should not encounter; and we should seek some other mode of travel, if it were possible, where precautions and care are not wholly useless.

As to the cost of the *Empire State*, there has [illegible]

[illegible] of the kind, where the [illegible] Coroner's Jury has assumed the duty to implicate the managers of the boat in a criminal neglect of their duty; but the verdict of the Jury is so strongly at variance with the reported facts that we cannot but think there must be some mitigating testimony which has not been given to the public. There is an unaccountable delay in publishing the long report which the Coroner's Jury are said to have appended to their verdict, and, until that appears, it will be impossible to form any correct opinion on the justice of the verdict. We hope it will contain some satisfactory explanation of the apparently inhuman conduct of the captain of the *Empire State* in not stopping to land his wounded passengers at Newport, where medical assistance could have been obtained, instead of continuing on to Fall River, where the boat was owned. The only plausible reason that we can conceive for his doing so, might be the supposition that more prompt and efficient aid could be obtained at the latter place.

Political Coinage.

The Washington *Union* in commenting on our remarks on Senator PRATT's letter, says:

"Is it a coinage of Mr. PRATT that many Republicans avow their purpose to be disunion? Is it a coinage that they have desecrated the flag of our country? Is it a coinage that fifteen stars have been stricken by them from that flag? Is it a coinage that the election of FREMONT and the administration of the Government on the principles of his party would necessarily dissolve the Union?"

To which we reply, that these are all pure and unadulterated inventions, without a shadow of evidence to justify them; and we shall unavoidably be compelled to believe that the *Union* knows them to be such, until it shall furnish some evidence to the contrary. If the *Union* could lay its hand upon one disunion sentiment uttered by a leading Republican, it would be prompt to place it before the public, though it would be absurd enough to do so when the leaders of its own party, at the South, have been so notorious for their bold avowal of disunion principles in their speeches in Congress and on the stump.

Steam Music.

A few years ago Rev. Dr. DEWEY suggested the idea of converting the execrable notes occasioned by blowing off steam into soothing music, so that instead of setting the teeth on edge and splitting the ears of everybody within a mile, it should have just the opposite effect of producing a state of quiet repose and harmonizing influence. The idea seemed fantastical enough, and yet we have lived to see it realized. An ingenious Yankee has invented an instrument which can be applied to any ordinary steam-engine, by which the escaping steam may be made to play a variety of lively or saddening tunes. The instrument is called the Calliope, and we yesterday heard it on the East River, giving out its wild and wizard music on board the steamer *Union*. The tones of the Calliope are rather screechy, it must be confessed, but they are sweet as Apollo's lute compared with the steam-whistle. Heard from a distance, the strains come over the waves with a puzzling, weird, and uncertain sound, as though a troop of Tritons were blowing their horns, accompanied by a bevy of mermaids floating down the stream. We do not see why the Calliope may not prove a very desirable addition to the steam engines of packet ships to amuse the passengers. The only objection we can imagine to the instrument is that it might possibly divert the attention of the engineers and the firemen, and so lead to an explosion.

Though the Calliope sounds so well a mile off, it may not be so agreeable on board the vessel where the music is made. Distance may be necessary to lend enchantment to the sound, and we rather imagine it is. There is one very great advantage which the Calliope would possess for sea-going steamers: two steamships passing each other at night might at least proclaim to each other their nation. An American steamer would play "Yankee Doodle" or "Hail Columbia," which would be responded to, as the case might be, by "*Partant pour la Syrie*," or "God save the Queen." A system of musical signals might easily be invented by which two steamships could keep up a conversation, either at night, or at a distance of a mile. Imagine the effect of two squadrons of steam men-of-war going to battle with the steam pipes on one side screaming out "Hail Columbia," or "Yankee Doodle," and on the other, "Britannia rules the Wave."

Editorial Nectarines.

There are few objects more grateful to the eye, or more refreshing to the appetite at this hot season of the year, than ripe nectarines. The nectarine is a delicious fruit, juicy, aromatic, and most agreeable to the æsthetic sense of a lover of the beautiful in nature. Such being our views in respect of nectarines, the glow of satisfaction with which we perused the following note yesterday, may be more easily imagined than described:

"Please accept a small basket of Nectarines from the grounds of the gentlemanly Editor of the so-and-so, so-and-so,—So-and-so, Esq. This is a part of an invoice which he sends to our City by each —— morning's steamer."

An aromatic nectarean perfume seemed to issue from the lemon-colored envelope of this agreeable note. But the Nectarines! The small basket from the grounds of the gentlemanly Editor of the so-and-so was so very small that it has never been seen at all. Though lost to sight, to memory dear. It evaporated *in transitu*. It was converted into a large basket of sour grapes. It fell into the remorseless hands of some insatiate admirer of pomological productions. It never gladdened our eyes, and all we owe to it is a most delicious disappointment. A vision of nectarines such as might have grown in the gardens of KUBLA KHAN. They were all our fancy painted them, we have no doubt; but we never had the pleasure of seeing even the small basket which contained them. The gentlemanly editor and his gentlemanly agent have our best wishes for their future happiness, notwithstanding.

The Meat Markets.

Our Live Stock market Reports, given every Thursday morning, exhibit a marked increase in the amount of meat consumed in this City during the present season. They also show that either the butchers are making large profits, or consumers are now getting their meat at much lower rates than formerly. During the past week there have been received at our regular City markets 4,073 beef cattle, and 12,046 sheep and lambs, while the weekly average for all of 1855 was only 3,326 beeves, and 10,940 sheep and lambs. This happens, too, when there are fewer people in the City than at any other time in the year. The present week is not an exception, as there has been a large supply for some time past. All or nearly all of the animals brought in, went into the hands of purchasers before sundown last night. The wholesale prices are as much lower this summer as the receipts are larger. The average price of all the cattle sold in the City the past week is about equal to 6c. P lb. for the beef in the carcass, though the first qualities sold for 6½c. P lb., and extras at 7c.

Death of an Old Merchant.

The venerable JOHN GRISWOLD, one founder of the first line of packets between New-York and London, died at his residence in Hyde Park, Dutchess County, on Tuesday, in the 74th year of his age. Mr. GRISWOLD was a native of Connecticut, but had been a citizen of New-York during half a century. The shipping, at the wharves and in the rivers and bay, wore their flags at half mast yesterday, as a token of respect for his memory.

LATEST INTELLIGENCE

By Telegraph to the New-York Daily Times.

[illegible]

INTERESTING FROM WASHINGTON.

The Amendments to the Appropriation Bill in the House—The Democrats voting to "stop the Supplies"—Duel between two Richmond Editors, &c.

Special Dispatch to the New-York Daily Times.

WASHINGTON, Wednesday, Aug. 6.

The Republicans in the House to-day carried all the amendments deemed important on the Civil, Judicial and Legislative Appropriation bill.

If Mr. SEWARD's bill to change the venue for the trial of the Kansas State prisoners should pass both Houses immediately, it will greatly lessen the necessity of the Republicans insisting on their amendments to the Appropriation bills.

It is a significant fact that, to-day, nearly every Democrat voted against the Appropriation bill—thus voting "to stop supplies and create revolution,"—exactly what they charge upon the Republicans.

The duel to-day between PAYNE and RIDGEWAY, two Richmond editors, took place near Mr. BLAIR's residence, just across the Maryland line; weapons, pistols, ten paces. They exchanged shots, nobody was hurt, and the affair was amicably adjusted, as set forth in the following card, to be published in the *Union* to-morrow:

A CARD.

Messrs. RIDGEWAY and PAYNE, of Richmond, Va., met and exchanged shots without effect. We, the undersigned, who arrived on the ground after the fire, then interposed, and at our request the challenge was withdrawn, it appearing to our satisfaction that the article of Mr. PAYNE, which was the occasion of the challenge, was written under the belief that there was a studied purpose on the part of Mr. RIDGEWAY to insult him and provoke a quarrel.

We recommend that Mr. RIDGEWAY disclaims such deliberate purpose, and that each and every article which was regarded as offensive, either by Mr. PAYNE or Mr. RIDGEWAY, be simultaneously and frankly withdrawn; and we trust hereafter these relations of kindness will subsist between them which become gentlemen who have so well approved their courage and honor.

P. G. BROOKS,
H. A. EDMUNDSON.
JOHN S. CARRIE.

Aug. 6.

We, the respective friends of Messrs. RIDGEWAY and PAYNE, accept the foregoing disbandment as honorable and just to the parties concerned.

J. M. GOOGIN,
CHAS. IRVING.

Further news from Iowa received now confirms the Republican success, probably by six thousand majority.

A document sent to the Senate to-day by the President, fully confirms my statement relative to the reply made by the Federal Government to the application of the Governor of California for aid to put down the Vigilance Committee. S.

Refusal of the Government to Interfere against the San Francisco Vigilance Committee.

WASHINGTON, Wednesday, Aug. 6.

The President communicated to the Senate today his reply, in answer to the resolution calling for information relative to affairs in San Francisco, together with the correspondence on the subject.

The letter of the Governor of California asks the President for two thousand stand of muskets, two mortars, three hundred shells, and two guns of large calibre, with their ammunition and appliances, promising they shall be paid for or returned. He likewise asks the use of the military and naval forces to suppress the insurrection.

The President, conceiving insuperable obstacles to the action now demanded, referred the subject to the Attorney-General, whose opinion he approves.

Mr. CRITTENDEN argues that there is no evidence in the Governor's statements or in other authentic information; that in what has occurred in San Francisco there was committed or threatened any act of resistance or obstruction to the Constitution, laws or official authority of the United States, and that the President is only to be moved to action by the Legislature of the State in which the insurrection exists, or of the Executive of such State when such Legislature "cannot" be convened, and when imminent or extreme public disaster can be averted only by such interposition of the Federal Government. He says the whole Constitutional power of California has not been exerted, and it does not appear that the Governor took any steps to convene the Legislature, although that remedy might have been adopted and had its effectual application to the evils long before any such remedy could be demanded from the President.

XXXIVth CONGRESS—First Session.

SENATE—WASHINGTON, Wednesday, July 6.

CHANGE OF VENUE.

Mr. SEWARD introduced a bill, which was referred to the Judiciary Committee, providing for a change of venue in certain criminal cases now pending in Courts of the United States for the Territory of Kansas.

The bill was founded on the petition of Governor ROBINSON and others, presented yesterday.

WHAT TO DO NEXT.

Mr. HUNTER moved to take up the Army Appropriation bill.

Mr. SEWARD wanted to finish the Internal Improvement bill to-day.

Mr. HUNTER insisted that the Appropriation bills deserved precedence.

Mr. DOUGLAS was for sitting out the Army bill.

Mr. CRITTENDEN thought the Appropriation bills should be first acted on as there was some danger of their being lost. He expressed his dissent from the idea of having night sessions, for it was beyond human endurance to sit here all night in this hot weather, and millions would be voted away by less than a quorum.

Mr. HUNTER's motion was lost by three majority.

IMPROVEMENT BILLS PASSED.

The Senate then considered and passed the bills making appropriations for the following purposes: For the improvement of the harbors of Apalachicola, Charleston, S. C., Cape Cod, Providence, Georgetown, District of Columbia; for the improvement of Hunsgate, the harbor of Bayou Lafourche, the inland passage between St. Johns and St. Marys Rivers, Florida, the harbor of Mobile; for a steam dredge in Lake Michigan; for the improvement of Cape Fear, and the Illinois and Red Rivers; for a survey of Archafalaya Bay and approaches, and for a survey of the Falls of Red River.

WAGON-ROAD TO THE PACIFIC.

The bill for a wagon-road to the Pacific, and five private bills were then passed.

Mr. FESS presented a petition from the Ocean Steam Navigation Company, praying for a renewal of their contract, and against the bill to make one with Mr. VANDERBILT. Adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

WASHINGTON, Wednesday, July 6.

MR. KEITT SWORN IN.

Mr. OLE presented the credentials of Mr. KEITT, who was sworn in.

[illegible]

Marine Disasters.

BURNING OF THE BRIG RED WARRIOR—SAFETY OF THE CREW.

BOSTON, Wednesday, Aug. 6.

The brig *Red Warrior*, Capt. FORD, from Marseilles for New-York, was burned and abandoned July 22, one hundred miles from Cape Sable. The crew were taken off by the schooner *Thorn*, and transferred to the schooner *Ocean*, which arrived at Provincetown to-day.

THE SHIP WINGED ARROW ASHORE.

BOSTON, Wednesday, Aug. 6.

The ship *Winged Arrow*, from Manila for Boston, went ashore this day, 6 A. M., on Middle Brewster, near Boston Light. She leaked badly and lost her rudder, but was got off at 4 o'clock this afternoon, and towed up to the city.

Wesleyan University Commencement.

MIDDLETOWN, Wednesday, Aug. 6.

The annual commencement of the Wesleyan University takes place to-morrow.

The exercises before the commencement began on Monday. Yesterday, Rev. GILBERT HAVEN delivered an oration before the Class of 1846.

Rev. JOHN PEASE, Jr., delivered the oration, and S. S. PIKE the poem, before the Psi Upsilon, yesterday afternoon; and GEORGE W. CURTIS the oration, and F. M. FINCH the poem, before the Philorhetorian and Peithologian Societies.

The Alumni dinner took place this afternoon, and about 400 sat down to the table.

The city is full of strangers.

The Graduating Class numbers 17.

General Scott and the Editor of the Buffalo Republican.

BUFFALO, Wednesday, Aug. 6.

General G. A. SCHOOLS of this city yesterday addressed a note to BENJAMIN WILCOX, Jr., the Editor of the *Daily Republican*, characterizing the article published in the Republican last Wednesday, referring to his wife, as grossly scandalous and insulting, and demanding a proper explanation and apology or satisfaction. Mr. WILCOX replied, explaining the matter in a manner satisfactory to General Scott, and the affair thus terminated without a duel.

Extensive Robbery of Jewelry in Philadelphia.

PHILADELPHIA, Wednesday, Aug. 6.

Some jewelry stores in this city were robbed last night. From that of SAMUEL H. WALL [illegible]

[illegible]

BUSINESS NOTICES

STRANGERS VISITING THE CITY SHOULD CALL at ROGERS' extensive Bazaar, No. 489 Broadway, where the most rich and splendid assortment of FANCY ARTICLES and TOYS in the world is to be seen; bijouterie of really and solid at importer's prices. Fancy Jet Ornaments, Toilet articles, Dressing and Traveling Cases, Bronze, Paris and Glass Ware, with every variety of articles suitable as gifts and presents for all ages, sex or [illegible]

H. A. ROGERS' Fancy Bazaar, No. 489 Broadway.

WE RESPECTFULLY CALL ATTENTION TO THE style of goods now in store, and daily receiving, suitable for gentlemen's Fall wear; comprising the newest patterns and best choices, which we make up with the same taste and style as heretofore, and warrant satisfactory.

A. & G. A. ARNOUX, [illegible]

MALLEABLE IRON GAS AND STEAM FITTINGS [illegible] CHAPIN'S PATENT MACHINERY, [illegible]

By the use of this machinery [illegible] by these gas fitters at very low prices.

New-York Agency at MERRY, ARCHER, WARNER & CO'S [illegible]

CHAPIN, TERRY & WELLS & CO. [illegible]

SINGER'S SEWING MACHINES IN ENGLISH [illegible] public. The Midget, [illegible] [illegible] 458 Broadway.

BATCHELOR'S HAIR DYE—THE ORIGINAL [illegible] warranted the best Hair Dye in the world. The only [illegible] to BATCHELOR'S Wig Factory, No. 233 Broadway.

New-York and Liverpool, U. S. M. S. S. Co. [illegible] No. 15 Pine-st. Fare [illegible]

NOTICE.—THE RATE OF FREIGHT BY THIS [illegible] Freeman per Ten measurement [illegible]

WIGS, WIGS, WIGS.—BATCHELOR'S WIGS ARE [illegible] BATCHELOR'S Wig Factory, No. 233 Broadway.

SPECIAL NOTICES.

COPARTNERSHIP.

FURNITURE.

LYON'S MAGNETIC POWDERS.

WANTED.

BOARDING.

HOTELS.

LOST AND FOUND.

NEW PUBLICATIONS.

DRY GOODS.

HOUSE FURNISHING GOODS.

POLITICAL NOTICES.

BUSINESS CHANCES.

FINE ARTS.

MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS.

PUBLIC MEETINGS.

INSTRUCTION.

EXCURSIONS.

AGRICULTURAL.

[Correspondence of the New-York Daily Times.]

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Aug. 5, 1856.

We have had very boisterous weather in this vicinity, within the past week. Hence, business has been less vigorous, though it exhibits no remarkable stagnation. The latest accounts from Europe are encouraging to factors of Breadstuffs, and these are held with confidence at Steamer, though they are not very easily purchased. Desirable lots are not easily procured. This circumstance is against freely general speculation. With less outgoing accommodation, freights have again advanced, to the injury of the export trade.

The receipts coastwise (by river and railway as well as by steamers) exhibit a Breadstuffs demand during the past week, amounting about 70,000 bbls. of Wheat Flour, 80,000 bushels of Wheat, 201,000 bushels of Corn, 19,000 bushels of Rye, and 20,000 bushels of Oats, against about 92,000 bushels of Flour, 286,000 bushels of Wheat, 400,000 bushels of Corn, 19,000 bushels of Rye, and 10,000 bushels of Oats, during the preceding week; and about 185,000 barrels of Flour, 221,000 bushels of Wheat, 117,000 bushels of Corn, 16,000 bushels of Oats, during the week ending the 15th ult.

The reported sales of Breadstuffs for the last week were confined to about 65,000 bbls. of Flour, 254,000 bushels of Wheat, 120,000 bushels of Corn, and 21,700 bushels of Rye—indicating a general decrease to about 9,000 bbls. of Flour, 121,000 bushels of Wheat, 79,000 bushels of Corn, and 36,000 bushels of Rye, as compared with the reported transactions of the preceding week, which consisted of about 74,000 bbls. of Flour, 375,000 bushels of Wheat, 440,000 bushels of Corn, and 57,000 bushels of Rye. By reducing the Flour to Grain, at the constant of five bushels to the barrel, and adding the product to the amount of actual Grain, the reported sales of Breadstuffs during the week just closed are shown to be about 1,000,000 bushels less than those of the preceding week.

Our available supply of Breadstuffs is not much heavier than it was a week ago, and the assortment is quite as satisfactory, especially of Rye, which is very scarce, and Corn, second lots of which are quite difficult to purchase, though buyers are inclined to give higher prices for such parcels. Flour, Wheat, and Oats are relatively more abundant, and they evince less firmness.

As compared with the prices of Breadstuffs a week ago, Wheat Flour closes rather cheaper, (though the rates are nominal rather nominal, while the demand is good, both for home use and for export. A considerable portion of the Wheat Flour received and sold during the past week, was from new Wheat, and of generally excellent quality. The South furnished most of this,—though some of it was derived from one or two of the Western States. We may very soon look for free arrivals both of new Flour and Grain from all parts of the country. The recent drouth did not do as much injury to the crops as was at first asserted, and with the return of rainy weather the belief is becoming general that the product of this harvest will considerably exceed that of any preceding one. There can, therefore, be no inducement to farmers to reserve their stocks of Grain, in anticipation of much higher prices,—as the prospects are in favor of cheap food, which is the greatest necessity of our people. Those who dispose of their crops early, will be sure to do so most satisfactorily.

Wheat had fluctuated slightly during the week, closing with more of it on the market, while it is rather less sought after, at inferior rates. Sound Corn has partially improved 2@3c. ⅌ bushel, the supply thereof having been inadequate to the requirements of buyers. Rye is very firm, with a light stock and an active inquiry. Oats are plentier and dull at 60c. ⅌ bushel cheaper. Rye Flour has risen 6@7c. ⅌ bbl., and Corn Meal is nearly 37½c. ⅌ bbl. higher. Both commodities are scarce and wanted.

Cotton is dull and drooping. Shippers are entirely passive, and the regular home demand is comparatively limited. Prices tend in favor of buyers, though factors are not pressing their supplies on the market. The available stock of Cotton here now is only about 60,000 bales, against 90,000 bales at the same time a year ago. The receipts at all the Cotton ports this season, to the latest dates, show an excess of 120,000 bales over those of last year. The total Exports, so far this season, are 224,551 bales more than those to the corresponding period a year ago; and the total stocks on hand, at all the ports now, are fully 110,000 bales lighter than those of the same time in 1855. The stocks remaining in interior towns at the fourth advance show 19,261 bales, against 21,710 the corresponding period last year. The first bale of new Cotton of this season arrived here on Tuesday, ⅌ steamship *Alabama*, from Savannah.

Provisions have been in good demand during the week, and hog products have generally improved. Pork of Beef is abundant, and without any particular change in value. Butter is in better request, and a little dearer. Cheese sells freely at full prices. The total stock of Provisions in the Packing-Yards of New-York and Brooklyn, on the 1st inst., comprised 49,677 bbls. of Pork, and 36,848 pkgs. of Beef, against 39,648 bbls. of Pork, and 15,119 pkgs. of Beef, same date last year.

Groceries have been moderately inquired for at old prices for all kinds, save Refined Sugars, which advanced a shade, closing firmly and buoyantly. The total stock of Groceries in first hands, on the 1st inst., included about 110,000 pkgs. of Coffee, 8,228 hhds. and 1,265 bbls. and tcs. of Molasses, with 24,100 hhds. and 18,000 tierces of Sugar.

Hemp continues quiet, at unaltered figures. The total stock on hand, on the 1st inst. consisted of only 281 bales of American, and 4,281 bales of foreign, chiefly Manila.

Hops are dull and drooping, being pretty freely offered, while they are in very slack request. We learn from England, by the latest arrivals, that the Hop crop there is in an improved condition, and promises to give something near a moderate average yield. This news has checked speculation here. Our advices in relation to the American Hop crop are not very encouraging, and do not justify any anticipation of a fair yield.

Hay is coming forward very slowly and is advancing, being in lively request. The recent drouth has, doubtless, cut off a very large portion of the expected supply; and to this, City dealers mainly attribute the present scarcity of the commodity in this location.

Rice is sparingly dealt in at previous quotations.

Seeds are generally in better request, and prices thereof favor factors.

Tobacco has attracted more attention, and has slightly advanced.

Tobacco is brisk and firm. The stock on the 1st inst. included 6,719 hhds. Domestic in the Inspection Warehouses, 3,189 bales Spanish in first hands, and 24,930 pkgs. of Manufactured. The sales of the latter, during the month of July, were 27,496 pkgs., against 16,761 pkgs. same month last year.

Wool is generally in less active request, yet it is very stiffly held.

No very important change has occurred in other commodities.

Our various Market reports, brought up to the latest moment, will furnish further information in the different departments of business.

Marketing Fruit.

We have now seen about the last of the bountiful crop of 1856. In Autumn and Winter the supply in this market was unusually large, and continued to mid April. After that we found few more in market than an ordinary years. We saw scores of barrels emptied into the docks, owing to their decayed condition. All of these might have been saved with proper care in putting up. The prospect for the present year is less favorable for a full crop, and a word in time may get fruit-growers upon their guard. As manufacturers are already making by choice for purchasing the forthcoming crop, we present here some extracts from an excellent article in the Country Gentleman on this subject. The writer says: A good deal of first-rate fruit has perished, all of which might have supplied the wants of the needy, in consequence of the heedless, unsystematic way in which it has been sent to market.

Take for example the peach crop. A farmer has perhaps five hundred fine trees in bearing. He has never turned his mind to the subject, and cuts off, whenever they please, the fruit will come and ask for it, or intending to carry the fruit to market himself. The purchaser may or may not come. He does not, or further preparations are made till the fruit generally are ripe. A general rush to them ensues when all combine with them to be in market together; the market is glutted; and they are sold for what they willbring. The report then spreads, and another of course, that there is no market for peaches; and so they are brought to market, and so when they are made coarse and high colored; and if any thought or trouble, perhaps another rush is made, and the poor trees are stripped to feed the wants of man, and only provide them by mutilation, not attempting to secure anything like a regular supply. Thus, perhaps thousands of bushels are rotting under the trees, while purchasers are deprived of them. The right way is to keep the entire community who do not raise them, constantly and regularly supplied at moderate prices; this would be better for all parties. To accomplish it, every fruit-raiser should estimate, weeks beforehand, the amount of fruit-crop, and the various periods of the ripening; he should then make a contract with a city dealer for the whole, to be supplied at regular and stated intervals, or employ an agent for their regular and continued sale, with the understanding that they shall be set at such moderate rates, that families can afford to go daily and obtain their regular supplies without fear of being cheated. If those who plant peach orchards would make a careful selection and distribution of sorts, so as to furnish a regular and uniform supply during the two or three months from the earliest to the latest, and would sell them at such prices as the community generally would afford to buy for daily use, probably ten times as many would be sold, at a greater average profit, and with a decided benefit to the health and purse of purchasers.

Last year's crop of Winter apples was nearly double the amount of average seasons; and prices ran low. Those who made early contracts with dealers found little difficulty; but barrels could not be obtained for all; and many remained undisposed of. Many owners resolved to keep their apples till Spring, with the hopes of higher prices. When Spring came, and decay was commencing, a general rush was made with them to the cities; the market was quickly overcharged; and, in New-York City, good Winter apples, but slightly injured by decay, were offered at about the usual price of empty barrels. The same management that we have proposed for the peach crop would prevent such a loss. Fruit-raisers must learn that there is an essential difference between selling wheat, which requires no management at all, except to get it to market, and selling perishable fruit, which requires a thorough knowledge of the business of picking, packing, transporting, and placing before purchasers. Those who are best informed on this branch of their business, are now in the practice of obtaining twice as much for their crops as those who sell to any purchaser who happens to come along, and who take but little pains in doing the work of cultivating, picking, and selecting in the best manner. They have acquired a reputation for the uniform excellence and condition of their crop; and fruit-sellers are not afraid to purchase of them.

HARVEST PROSPECTS.—1856.

We continue condensed extracts from letters received at the Commercial Agency of Messrs. TAPPAN & McKILLOP, No. 5 Beekman-street, (in the Park Bank Building.) It should be stated that these accounts are from intelligent observers who are not interested in selling produce, and who only allude to the state of the crops for the purpose of showing the general business prospects in their several localities.

ALABAMA.

Tuscaloosa County, July 19.—Cotton and Corn are the principal productions of the county. The Cotton crop will be fair. Corn is cut off somewhat by drouth, but sufficient made for ordinary use. Wheat crop was poor.

GEORGIA.

Cass County, July 29.—Wheat is only about half a crop and is now harvested. Corn looks badly, being much injured by the prevailing drouth. Cotton is doing pretty well, and has not as yet felt the effect of the dry weather.

Houston County, Aug. 1.—Large portions of this county have suffered from a ten weeks' drouth, which has cut off the Corn crop two-thirds at least, but few will have to buy Corn, as last year's crop was all abundance. The Potato crop is a failure. The Cotton is not so much hurt by the drouth. The rains have now set in, and it is confidently that the crop will be a two-thirds one. Much, however, will depend on the weather this month.

Washington County, July 31.—Cotton, Corn and Wheat, are the chief productions of the county. The Corn crop has been considerably injured by the drouth. It will probably fall short about one-third. Wheat has been a pretty fair yield; enough has been made for home consumption. The Cotton crop looks promising, and with favorable weather the yield will be about an average, which is 10,000 bales.

Wilkinson County, July 29.—The Corn this year will fall short of the usual crop, having been injured by the dry weather. The Cotton crop will be a fair yield. Wheat was good. Potatoes will be a failure, owing to the extreme severity of last Winter, the seed having been destroyed.

MICHIGAN.

Berrien County, July 26.—Wheat is more than an average crop, very heavy and harvested in the very best order. Oats are rather light, affected some by the drouth—yet not by any means a failure; we only raise about sufficient for home use. Corn will be about an average crop; our late rains will bring it forward, yet it has been injured some by the drouth. The Potato crop, judging from present prospects, will be an abundant one; the late rains are fully in time for the general crop, yet too late for early. This is a crop much depended upon here. In fact, farmers generally have abundant reason to be fully satisfied with their crops of all kinds, those secured and those in prospective.

Kent County, July 28.—The Wheat of this valley is of first quality, but the yield will be only moderate. Fields will vary from 10 to 15 bushels to the acre. Some will go to 20 and 30 bushels, but it is not thought the average will be more than 12 or 14 bushels. Corn and Potatoes are coming on fine; the early Corn is first-rate; the late-planted suffers for want of rain. There has been considerable Corn put in. Early-sown Oats look very well, and promise a good yield; the later-sown will suffer for want of rain. The crop will probably be an average one. Grass is first rate in every particular, and is well secured, so that Hay will be abundant, and at reasonable prices. The Wheat has been secured in good condition—will be plump, and make good flour.

Lapeer County, July 21.—The Hay and Wheat crop in this county is all nearly secured, and the yield is good. Corn never looked better until the drouth came on. If there be rain soon, the crop may yet turn out pretty good.

Monroe County, July 28.—The Wheat crop in this section of the country (owing to the drouth) is not an average one; is quite light; probably the yield will not be twenty-bushels as large as last year. Corn and Oats will be about an average crop, unless the dry weather should continue much longer. Rain is much wanted for vegetation of all kinds.

SOUTH CAROLINA.

Spartanburgh District, July 22.—It is not thought that the farmers will have any reason to complain of the crops this year. The prospects are flattering. Corn and Cotton look very well; other crops are very flattering and judging from present appearances, we shall have a fair average yield of all.

Union District, July 22.—The principal productions of this county are Corn, Wheat, Cotton, and some Rice; the Wheat crop this year is not good; Corn on the whole looks healthy, though somewhat injured by the heavy rains; the Cotton is growing very rapidly, but the planters are complaining of what they call the lice on Cotton, which will injure it much.

York District, Aug. 1.—The present prospect of the crops here is fine; Cotton, Corn, Wheat, and Oats are the chief articles raised; judging from their appearance just now the yield will probably be far above an average.

NEW-YORK LIVE STOCK MARKETS.

Prepared every week expressly for the NEW-YORK DAILY TIMES, SEMI-WEEKLY, and WEEKLY TIMES, *by a careful and experienced Reporter.*

WEDNESDAY EVENING, Aug. 6, 1856.

The principal Market Places are the WASHINGTON DROVE YARDS, 45th-STREET, and at the NEW-YORK YARDS, Forty-fourth-street; Browning's, on Sixth-street; Chamberlin's, on Robinson-street, and O'Brien's, on Sixth-street. Our reports refer to these regular markets. Irregular sales are sometimes made at Bergen Hill, N. J., and from the Hudson River boats.

The great sales day at the Washington Yards is on WEDNESDAY of each week. The reports from all the yards are made up for the preceding week to the evening of that day.

RECEIPTS OF ANIMALS AT THE PRINCIPAL MARKETS, FOR THE WEEK ENDING AUG. 6, 1856.

MARKETS.	Beeves.				Cows & Calves.		Veal Calves.		Sheep & Lambs.		Swine.	
Washington Yards	1,672	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Brighton Yards	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Bergen Hill, N. J.	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Total for week	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Last week	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

Total receipts for week ending to-day 4,078
Total receipts for corresponding week last year ..... 3,[illegible]
Average weekly receipts of Beeves at the New-York Market for the year 1855 ..... 3,[illegible]

A. M. ALLERTON, proprietor, reports the receipts at the Washington Drove-Yards, received as follows:

STATE WHERE FROM.	Beeves.	Cows.	Veal Calves.	Sheep.	Swine.
New-Hampshire	143	.....	.....	.....	.....
Western New-York	87	.....	.....	.....	.....
Eastern Ohio	72	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	.....
Eastern Kentucky	28	.....	.....	.....	.....
Canada & Ashby & K.	28	.....	.....	.....	.....
On foot	18	.....	.....	.....	.....

The Beeves at ALLERTON'S were derived from the following States:

Ohio	1,000	Missouri	—
New-York	111	Pennsylvania	—
Illinois	1,000	Maine	—
Kentucky	500	Connecticut	—
Indiana	111	Michigan	—
Virginia	—	New-Jersey	—
Iowa	—	Wisconsin	—

Sheep are sold by the estimated dead weight of the four quarters; the so-called "fifth quarter" (hide and tallow) is not reckoned in here, as it is in Boston and some other cities. When Cattle are weighed or estimated alive, the dead weight is reckoned at a certain number of pounds to the 100 lbs. of live weight, as may be agreed upon. The general rule in this market for medium cattle is 50 lbs. to the 100; 44 lbs. being allowed for the "fifth quarter" and offal.

The average prices, to-day, as compared with last week, are full ½c. lower.

We quote:

PRICES OF BEEVES AT FORTY-FOURTH-STREET.

	To-day.	Last Week.
Premium cattle	9½c.@10c. lbs.	@10c.
First quality	9c.@ 9½c.	9¼c.@ 9½c.
Medium quality	8½c.@ 8¾c.	8¾c.@ 9c.
Poor quality	8c.	8c.@ 8½c.
Poorest quality	7½c.@ 7¾c.	7½c.@ 8c.
General selling prices	8½c.@ 9½c.	8¾c.@ 9½c.
Average or all sales about	8¾c.@ 9c.	9c. @ 9c.

At Browning's, Chamberlin's and O'Brien's, prices do not materially differ from those at Forty-Fourth-street. Browning reports Beeves at 8¾c.@9½c. Chamberlin reports Beeves at 7½c.@10c. O'Brien reports Beeves at 7½c.@9½c.

GENERAL REMARKS UPON THE NEW MARKET.

We found a few more first quality cattle in market this morning than one week ago, and a larger sprinkling of "scalawags." There was an unusual number of small animals, ranging from 500 lbs. to 650 lbs. net, and in quite good flesh. These are precisely the kind of beef cattle wanted during this weather, and notwithstanding the large supply they went off pretty briskly. At 3 o'clock P. M., we judged there were not half as many unsold cattle as at the same hour last Wednesday.

The course of the market to-day appeared to be up in the morning, down at mid day, and up towards evening.

The day was cool and pleasant—just the thing for selling Cattle. We hope all dealers will keep in mind that during ever eighty weeks that have passed since the general market was changed from the Sabbath-breaking one (Monday) to Wednesday, we have not had half a dozen stormy Wednesdays, while almost half of the Mondays have been anything but pleasant.

We heard, to-day, that the Jews are making an effort to have a market on Sunday, to suit their own convenience. We hope there is no truth in the report, for we strongly protest against any such move. If they do not regard the Christian Sabbath, they have no right, moral or legal, to disturb those who wish to keep the day sacred. We quite sure driving cattle from the yards through the City on the Sabbath will not be tolerated. These arriving here by change on the Sabbath may, perhaps, be driven to the market-yards, but not from them.

The figures above give a fair view of the receipts of Cattle and of the prices. It will be seen that there is still a large supply of Beef, and there were few unsold Cattle at 4 o'clock this evening.

Drovers who bought on the strength of prices ruling last Spring, are doubtless losing money now. They say so, and we believe them. But there are Cattle enough at the West to be purchased at prices which will allow a profit even on the present selling rates in this market.

Ohio furnished about one-half of all offered this week at Forty-fourth-street.

For further remarks upon the localities from which the Cattle are derived, their quality, &c., and for particular sales and other matters of interest, see the tables below, with the special notes following. These tables and notes are generally gathered from a personal inspection of the droves mentioned.

No.	Where from.	Owner.	Salesman.
1	Ohio	S. Cox	Capt. Vail.
2	Ill	W. I. Hunt	J. G. Hogg.
3	Ohio	Mr. Smart	Geo. A. Tuthill.
4	Ill	R. M. Stoker	Jas. A. Mandle.
5	Ill	Harris & Reid	C. G. Tood.
6	Ohio	R. R. Seymour	Barney Bertram.
7	Ohio	Sawyer & Claypool	Barney Bertram.
8	Ohio	S. Sherman	Owner.
9	Ohio	Beach & Smith	Owners.
10	Ohio	T. Shelton	Geo. Arnold.
11	Ohio	Hillhouse	S. Sherman.
12	Ill	John Gregory	D. L. Belding.
13	Ky	Daniel McIntyre	G. W. Gamer.
14	Ky	Geo. M. Bedford	W. M. Taylor.
15	Ky	J. S. Richardson	Jas. Wheeler.
16	Ohio	Geo. Fleming	Owner.
17	Ill	Lewis Castleman	Geo. Arnold.
18	Ohio	J. W. Gwynn	G. G. Tood.
19	Ohio	S. C. Burrell	S. Sherman.
20	Ohio	R. C. Langdon	Valentine & Martin.
21	Ill	Tho. T. Alexander	Simon Ullery.
22	Ohio	John Bryant	S. Murray.
23	Ohio	W. Resnick	White & Son.
24	Ohio	A. Patterson	Miller, Hering & Co.
25	Ky	S. M. Richardson	Thos. Wheeler.
26	Ky	Norman Fell	Owner.
27	Ohio	Gilchrist & Todd	Owners.
28	Ohio	M. H. Driskill	Owner.
29	Ill	Henry Hayes	W. A. Belden.
30	Ohio	J. S. Hitchcock	Jas. Gilchrist.
31	Ohio	Baker & Brother	Thos. M. Vail.
32	Ill	J. Drebach	Henry Hurd.
33	Ohio	W. Mullen	Owner.
34	Ohio	J. Parrit	J. Budlong.
35	Ky	J. Bush	Henry Hurd.
36	Ill	Isaac S. Slagle	Owners.
37	Ohio	J. Brooks	J. H. Williams.
38	Ill	J. Gatewood	J. H. Williams.
39	Ohio	Lee Drebach	Owner.
40	Ohio	J. M. Beard	Oliver, Hunt & Co.
41	Ohio	D. Fraser & Co.	Oliver, Hunt & Co.
42	Ind	Y. Meeks	Turnham & Wheat's
43	N. Y.	N. Little	Owner.

* Sold from New-York Yards, south side of Forty-fourth-street.

JOHN BRYANT contributed 72 fair quality Ohio Steers; home weight 1,400 lbs. They were sold by S. MURRAY at an average of $97 ⅌ head. They were put in cars at Columbus. Expenses to Buffalo $70 ⅌ car, (15 to the car.) Buffalo to Albany, $10 ⅌ car, (15 to the car.) Albany to New-York, by steamboat, at $1 ⅌ head.

S. C. BURRELL, of Coshocton County, Ohio, brought in 88 ordinary Steers; home weight, 1,310 lbs. Chas. Coates took 20 at $64 each. The first 56 were sold by S. SHERMAN at 8c.@8¼c., according to quality. This drove came on foot all the way from home, and Philadelphia, at a total expense of $1 ⅌ head. They were on the road 51 days, averaging just 10 miles a day. Mr. BURRELL says he could have brought them through for $3 a head at any other season, but now he found pasture so short that he frequently had to buy hay. He went 30 miles out of the direct course to try the Philadelphia market, and would have saved money if he had sold them. He has tried the railroads and gives a decided preference to driving through. The appearance of the animals do not show any better for their pleasure trip on foot, though part of their looks must be attributed to the weather.

Capt. W. J. HUNTSMAN brought in from Henderson County, Ill., on the Mississippi River, 111 steers 101 of which were 3-year olds. Sixty of them were fed by LAW & WILSON, of Warren County. This lot was in pretty good order, and will make good eating for this weather. They were selling by J. G. HOGG—some of the best at nearly 10c., while some of the poorest brought little over 5c. The whole lot averaged 8c.@8¼c. This was an experiment to try small cattle. As more animals were put into cars, the expense was less, and the owner thinks them quite as profitable as larger animals, or rather less loss, on such a day as this.

S. COX brought in 43 steers from Champaign County, Ohio. Quality good; weight averaging about 700 lbs. They were selling by Capt. VAIL at 8c.@8¾c. for the first three-fourths of them. The owner thinks some of the best brought nearly 10c.

Lewis CASTLEMAN contributed 99 Kentucky grade Durhams; about 900 lbs. average weight; quality fair. They were sold by Geo. ARNOLD at 8c.@8¼c., seller's and buyers' estimate. ARNOLD also sold 56 Ohio steers for Mr. SMITHER; quality ordinary. They brought about 8½c. on the average.

JOHN T. ALEXANDER sent forward 119 Shirk Illinois steers, averaging about 950 lbs. They were sold by SIMON ULLERY at about 8¼c.@8½c.

WARNER & REID contributed 108 Illinois steers of fair quality. Home weight 1,050 lbs. They were sold by G. TODD at an average of a little less than 8½c. Expenses and shrinks same as Burrell's.

E. M. BEARDS, of Morgan County, Illinois, brought in 56 fair quality steers; home weight 1,450 lbs. They were selling by Jas. A. MANDLE at an average for the whole drove of 9¼c., or just about 8c.

Mr. STOKER, of Pickaway County, Ohio, brought a drove of 100 fine quality Ohio steers, weighing from 1,300 to 1,400 lbs. They were sold by J. G. HOGG at 9¾c. ⅌ lb. Mr. STOKER also brought in 20 Ohio steers, weighing from 1,600 to 1,700 lbs. They were sold by SAMPSON & AMBY at 9¾c. ⅌ lb. Expenses to Buffalo $70 ⅌ car, (15 to the car.) Buffalo to Albany, $10 ⅌ car, (15 to the car.) Albany to New-York, by steamboat, at $1 ⅌ head.

HARVEY & CHAMBERLIN brought in 19 Ohio steers, quality very good for the $; weight 700 to 750 lbs. They were sold by BARNEY BARNHAM, at an average of 9c.

CHAMBERLIN & TOWNSEND sold one yoke of fat steers, belonging to Mr. HITCHCOCK of Lenox County. They were retailed out at 9c.@9c.

S. S. HARRISON contributed 37 Ohio steers, a little less than 700 lbs. average; fair quality. They were sold by BARNEY BARNHAM, at 8¾c.@9½c.; average 9¼c.

NORMAN FELL brought in 24 very good steers, which were full fed in Morgan County, Illinois, last Winter, and pastured during the Summer in Madison County, N. Y. Home weight 1,400 lbs. They were selling off by T. WHITE & SON, at an average of about 9¾c. This drove was injured, and some of them nearly killed, by the breaking down of the bridge over the Chenango Canal at Hamilton. Where's the economy?

S. C. LANGDON, Esq., of Pickaway County, Ohio, sent in 28 steers, mostly quite small, but in fair flesh. They were selling off by VALENTINE & MARTIN, at 9c.@9c. @9¼c. for some of the best.

MILCH COWS WITH CALVES.

Milch Cows are sold at each of the above yards, mostly with their Calves at their sides. The prices vary somewhat with the supply and demand, and very materially, of course, upon the quality. The particular fancy of the buyer has also considerable to do with the price. Not unfrequently a Cow is sold at $60@$100, or even $120. The general price throughout the year for ordinary Cows is $50 to $60 or $65. Quite a number sell above $60, and more, perhaps, below $50. We often see apologies for Cows go at $35@$40. The weekly reports from the different yards will give the weekly fluctuations:

The receipts of Milch Cows have been small, but fully equal to demand. The prospect of a drouth led milkmen to lay in a larger stock of Cows last week in order to be able to fulfill their milk contracts. The rains having put a new aspect upon pasture prospects, a less demand for Cows now prevails.

ALLERTON reports 35 Milch Cows, not materially changed as to selling rates.

BROWNING reports 54 Milch Cows, selling, as yet, at about the same rates as for some weeks past.

CHAMBERLIN reports 78 Milch Cows, selling at $35@$50 for common, $55@$65 for good; extra, $70@$75.

O'BRIEN reports 78 Milch Cows, selling at $35@$60. There is a falling off in the demand at this yard, and Cows sell for $5 ⅌ head lower than this day last week. Very few reach the highest figure, $60. A majority of good Cows sell for about $45 ⅌ head.

VEAL CALVES.

Veal Calves are sold by live weight, each animal being weighed alive at the time of sale. The principal places of sale are ALLERTON'S, BROWNING'S, CHAMBERLIN'S, and O'BRIEN'S.

The abundance of cheap Mutton and Lamb interferes with the demand for Veal, and prices are quoted a little lower, with a slow market.

ALLERTON reports 357 Veal Calves selling, a smaller number than for some time past. Prices range from 5c.@6¾c. and 7c. for extras.

BROWNING reports 73 Veal Calves, selling generally at 4c.@6c. The large number of Sheep and Lambs, and the good supply of cheap Beef, diminish the demand for Veal, and prices have fallen off somewhat.

CHAMBERLIN reports 96 Veal Calves, selling at 5c.@7c. ⅌ lb.

O'BRIEN reports 55 Veal Calves, selling at 5c.@6¾c. All brought in were sold at these rates, though the demand is not brisk.

SHEEP AND LAMBS.

These are chiefly sold at AllerTon's, Browning's, and Chamberlin's, as so much ⅌ head for a particular lot of Sheep or Lambs, or of the two together. They are also frequently sold by live weight, as this is readily ascertained. The actual prices at the different yards seldom vary greatly. The difference in reputed prices is generally due to variation in the quality.

We find a large number of Sheep and Lambs have been received during the week past, generally in good quality. This increase both in numbers and weight, has lowered the price somewhat. The market is, however, pretty fair to-day.

ALLERTON reports receipts of 996 Sheep and Lambs, selling a little lower than last week. The average prices of Sheep is estimated by the Broker at $3 50 @ $3 50 ⅌ head, and of Lambs at $3 37½ @ $5 62½. Of course, many poor animals sell for much lower figures, while others go much higher. The Lambs, being pretty well grown, and still tender, bring higher prices than old Sheep of larger size.

BROWNING reports receipts of 4,469 Sheep and Lambs. This is the largest number received in any week in the present season. Prices have declined full 50 cents per head. The most of them brought in worse off and quality. Almost 200 were left over Saturday evening. About 600 were left over in the yards this morning, most of which were disposed of before noon.

CHAMBERLIN reports receipts of 4,961 Sheep and Lambs, selling at $3@$3 ⅌ head.

SHEEP BROKERS' SALES AT BROWNING'S, FOR WEEK ENDING AUG. 6.

SAMUEL MCGRAW reports sales of:

63 Sheep	$50 50	97 Sheep	$131 00
90 Sheep	100 00	108 Lambs	200 00
96 Sheep	202 75	77 Lambs	271 00
79 Sheep	230 00	64 Lambs	144 50
75 Sheep	262 50	116 Lambs	387 75
52 Sheep	130 00		

THOMAS G. LARKIN reports sales of:

Sheep and Lambs.		Sheep and Lambs.	
102	$419 75	201	$674 50
175	600 50	165	600 12
60	200 00	97	325 50
96	330 75	46	140 00
61	244 00	17	65 50
17	60 00		

BRADY, SHIPLEY & JONES, Sheep Brokers at CHAMBERLIN'S, report the following sales for the week:

No.	Breed.	Average Weight.	Average Price.
113	Lambs	60 00	$3 75
61	Sheep	246 00	4 00
100	Sheep	200 00	3 62
96	Sheep	240 00	3 50
75	Sheep	190 00	3 50
148	Sheep	267 00	3 75
21	Sheep	84 00	4 00
50	Sheep	212 00	4 25
26	Sheep	140 00	5 00
24	Sheep	110 00	4 75
156	Sheep	390 00	3 75
28	Sheep	364 00	4 00
71	Sheep	266 25	3 75
7	Sheep	35 00	5 00

SWINE.

These are sold alive at so much ⅌ lb. gross or live weight. Considerable numbers are sold at ALLERTON'S, Forty-fourth-street, and at various other yards, while large droves are sold direct from the cars or boats, and driven immediately to the various slaughter-houses.

The hot weather rendering transportation of fat Swine hazardous, has decreased the receipts, and prices have advanced a little.

ALLERTON reports no Swine received during the week.

Messrs. VAN BRUNT & WARNER, Pork-packers, (No. 260 Chambers-street,) report:

LIVE HOGS.	DRESSED HOGS.
Stock hogs....6¼c.@ —	Corn-fed, light, 6¾c.@6c.
Corn-fed, fat...6¾c.@6¾c.	Distillery hogs, 6¼c.@6c.
Distillery hogs, 6¼c.@6¾c.	

Stock light.

We annex an official report of Stock received over the New-York and Erie Railroad, for the week ending this forenoon:

Cattle	1,619	Hogs	1,693
Sheep	2,504	Calves	174
Lambs	165	Horses	4

Philadelphia Cattle Market.

PHILADELPHIA, Wednesday, Aug. 6.

The receipts of Beef Cattle yesterday and to-day have not been so large as at our last quotations, but there is no material change to note in prices.

BEEF CATTLE.—The entire sales amounted to 1,600 head, at prices ranging from $6 25 to $8 25, and a few extra ones at $8 ⅌ 100 lbs. ALBE KENNEDY, ELI COX, ROLLIN & HILLERY, were the principal owners and the stock came from Chester County, Pa., Ohio, Kentucky and Virginia. The great majority of the Beeves were of the lower grades:

SHEEP.—This market was quite active, and 4,000 head were sold at TOMMER'S Avenue Drove Yard, at from $3 50@$4 ⅌ head for Sheep, and at from $3 25@$4 75 for Lambs. The average price was about $3 88 each. The best Sheep in market was a lot from Washington County, Pa. About 300 were left over.

HOGS.—This market was also quite active, and 1,200 head changed hands at from $6@$6 75 ⅌ 100 lbs.

COWS AND CALVES.—The sales only amounted to 175 head, at prices ranging from $30@$50 ⅌ head, according to quality and condition.

Wholesale Produce Markets.

Reported exclusively for the New-York Daily Times.

WEDNESDAY EVENING, Aug. 6, 1856.

The prices given in our reports from week to week are the average wholesale prices obtained by producers, and not those at which produce is sold from the market. The variations in prices refer chiefly to the qualities of the articles.

Peaches from New-Jersey are beginning to arrive, though in moderate quantities. The crop will not be large this season according to present reports.

Nectarines, in poor condition after a voyage from Charleston, were offered at $4 per box; good ones, if found, are worth from $6 to $10 per box.

Eggs continue to arrive freely, especially from Philadelphia, while the demand is quite limited.

Butter is in fair demand at quoted prices, which do not vary from last week.

The heavy rain of yesterday has revived the hopes of the vegetable gardeners and farmers, who were lamenting the withered appearance of their late crops. The dairymen's prospects have also improved, and they hope to make their fall quota of Fall butter and cheese.

In our list below we have not quoted some of the articles as low as they have been sold to jobbers during the week, as our aim is only indicative to merchants who to good credit and of fair capacity.

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

AUCTION SALES.

[illegible]

BY ORDER OF THE SHERIFF.—THIS DAY, at 12 o'clock, at the Exchange, will be sold the following Stocks, viz: [illegible] Hudson River Railroad 15 per cent. Interest [illegible] Lake Erie, Wabash and St. Louis Railroad 50 [illegible] Central (Ohio) 7 per cent. Bonds [illegible] Western Railroad 10 per cent. Mortgage [illegible] Galena and Chicago Railroad ..... $100 each [illegible] Knickerbocker Stage Company ..... $100 each [illegible] Sacramento Gaslight Company ..... $100 each [illegible] Stockton City Railroad Company ..... $100 each [illegible] Atlantic Mining Company ..... $100 each [illegible] Pacific Fire Insurance Company ..... $50 each [illegible] Continental Fire Insurance Co. ..... $100 each [illegible] Seaman's Insurance Company ..... $50 each [illegible] Eastern Insurance Company ..... $50 each [illegible] Sacramento Water Company ..... $100 each [illegible] Pacific Express Company ..... $100 each [illegible] Pacific Express Company ..... $100 each [illegible] Pacific Express

Washington to Washington Territory

THE MILITARY MASSACRE THERE DENIED.

Judge Lander's Account of the Difficulty.

The President has sent to the Senate all the official papers in the Department of State, in relation to the recent troubles in Washington Territory, the declaration of martial law there, and the arrest and imprisonment of Chief Justice LANDER, by order of Governor STEVENS. The papers confirm substantially the statement of facts in regard to this subject made by our special Washington correspondent several weeks since. Briefly they are these: Governor STEVENS claims to have satisfactory evidence that certain influential white citizens were in continual communication with the hostile Indians upon the borders of the Territory, and were supplying them with ammunition and other articles in war on the war against the settlers. In order to deal summarily with these, and thus, as he says, put an end to the Indian war, Governor STEVENS declared martial law in Pierce County, arrested the white offenders, and ordered their trial by Military Commission, for their alleged treasonable complicity with the enemy.

Chief-Justice LANDER about this time attempted to hold his United States Court, in which the prisoners need not write of habeas corpus, when the Governor, by military force, closed the Court, and rendered it useless impossible. Subsequently the Judge again tried to hold Court at Olympia, where his proceedings were again molested by the Governor, who then arrested him, and held him a prisoner. A meeting of members of the Bar was held, which seems to have had the countenance and support of the mass of the people in the vicinity, and which denounced the conduct of the Governor as illegal, oppressive, and insolent. The printed proceedings of this meeting, and a reply thereto by the Governor, also printed, constitute part of the documents transmitted. The most interesting papers, however, are the following:

First, a long letter to the Secretary of State, signed by GEORGE GIBBS and E. A. GOLDSBOROUGH, by citizens of Steilacoom, and dated 11th May, 1856, which gives a detailed account of the proceedings connected with the declaration of Martial Law, and the arrest of Judge LANDER. It concludes thus:

"It is simply a question whether a public servant shall be at owed to overrule all law, even the highest; to usurp at pleasure and capricious discretion upon the power over life and liberty, or whether the law of the land is to control him.

Gov STEVENS states that the event showed that there was no danger of a collision between his volunteers from and the people of this country. That he at simply showed this, in accordance with the wish of the Governor, placing full confidence in the justice of the Governor Government, and in full expectation of relief to the people subjected for the time. We can state positively, however, that a short time since this Office Justice could have led to disturbance, and that we have no thought of permitting a repetition of the outrage.

In conclusion we must declare our honest belief, as citizens of Washington Territory, that the immediate removal of Governor STEVENS from office alone is necessary to restore to the people and a state of tranquillity. Of unusually active and ambitious temperament, of unscrupulous ambition and great energy, he has been further influenced by the fatal mistake of urgent agents, and in the fire of an excitement known no bounds to his language or to his actions. Standing responsible for our statements, we place them in your hands to be used as you may think expedient; and are, with perfect respect,

Your obedient servants,

GEORGE GIBBS,

E. A. GOLDSBOROUGH.

To the honorable Secretary of State, Washington City."

The following is Judge LANDER's account of the matter:

Judge Lander to Mr. Marcy.

SAND MOUNTAINS, May 22, 1856.

WILLIAM L. MARCY, *Secretary of State*:

SIR: It has never been my wish, to trouble the Department with complaints, nor should I now address you, had I not thought that my silence respecting the outrages which have lately been heaped on this Territory, might be construed into an acquiescence in what has been done.

I also learn that Governor STEVENS has made a statement, and it becomes my duty therefore not to be silent.

I regret that, held as a prisoner as I am at this place, thirty miles from my residence at Olympia, the correspondence between myself and Governor STEVENS, and the papers on which I could save me from making the statements of the transactions depend upon my memory alone, are not at my command. All these are at Olympia, and as I may not be able to go there for some time, I have not thought it best to delay my longer the communication.

Fully to understand my connection with these transactions as will be seen hereafter, I would state that at the first breaking out of the war in this Territory, when there was on the ground but few troops, I accepted from Mr. MASON, then acting Governor, the appointment of Aide—not to interfere with my judicial functions—supposing that my services in such matters might be of some little service to him in helping to organize the few companies he called into service; as this was during the time of holding the terms of the Court in my District, I could have been and was of but little service.

On the arrival of Governor STEVENS I had always supposed that appointment ceased. The day after that attack upon Seattle, I came to this place and found many of the inhabitants ready to leave, and others ready to organize for the defence of the country and town. At their request I remained there, and I organized of the small force of citizens, and have been employed for the last three months, until this, in trying to protect the section of the country back of Seattle.

I did not suppose that this interfered with my duty as a Judge. It was during vacation, and in defence of a country where the Indians have swept away nearly all the settlements, killed every house and man they met, and, until a few or three weeks, left the town as the only and last refuge to the people, finding their safety under the guns of a man-of-war.

When the time came for holding the term of the District Court at Seattle, at the request of Judge ROBERTS, I went there, and brought his Clerk to me, he being unwell, I held the Court for three days, transacting the ordinary business of a term. During the week I received a note from STEVENS, Commander, stating that he was still too unwell to leave home, and requesting me, as a favor which he came that he would do for me, to hold the term at Steilacoom for him the next week. I should have passed that in this Territory I have had occasion at several times to hold Courts for the sitting Judge in other Districts, when the Judge was unwell or absent, and have always considered it my duty to do so.

This session was followed, I know, in Oregon, while Washington was a part of that Territory, and I presume in several Courts since. I had heard that martial law had been proclaimed in Pierce County, but had not seen the Proclamation giving the reasons for it, and supposed, now that the term of Court was approaching, that it would be removed. Probably as from my own inattention through in these giving themselves up, I became satisfied that the leading War Chief with his best men had crossed the mountains to join the Yakima tribe, and that the Indians had dispersed, scattered and broken into small parties, and were trying to hide away from the troops, and were trying to hide away from the troops, and that the danger of a collision between the troops and citizens was over.

Some doubts had arisen as to the power of the Court to act in a case in which the United States was a party, or in criminal matters, and I had stated that there was imminent danger of collision between the citizens and soldiers, and, also, that in my opinion the law did not provide for any term of the Court being held, except on that week it commenced; also the resignation mentioned before, as this was the first opportunity, meeting in it that, as I had always considered the appointment of aide as I had always considered the appointment of aide as I had been, but if there was any doubt upon it, I resigned my and all commissions I might be understood to hold. To these two notes the Governor replied on Tuesday, refusing to accept the resignation and informing me that he found no difficulty in the Court adjourning until June from an examination of the law. The difficulty not found by the Governor had been caused by a construction put upon the statute at a former term, and noted upon afterwards, with which the Governor's construction would conflict.

And, while waiting for an answer from Gov. STEVENS, called upon Lieut. Col. CASEY, commanding the United States troops at Fort Steilacoom, and told him in conversation that I did not think that I should call upon him to protect the Court. In reply, he told me that no troops would be furnished for that purpose.

I did not authorize the Sheriff to call out the posse comitatus. The excited state of mind in the citizens seemed to forbid it. No crowd of excited people, no small force of military, can, in my opinion, consistently and properly defend the dignity of a Court of justice. An overwhelming force, which would overtowe without conflict, alone would answer. Such a force could not be obtained, and I determined to trust to the Bailiffs of the Courts alone, hoping that the respect in which Courts were held would protect from outrage, and not wishing to cause bloodshed, even in the defence of right.

On Wednesday, at the usual hour at the Court House, the Court was opened, when a body of armed men under a Lieutenant entered the room, were placed across the Court House behind the bar, and facing the bench. Lieutenant-Colonel SHAW and Captain DALLAS accompanied. These men, though in the service of Washington Territory, were all of them with their officers, residents of Oregon. The calling of the Grand Jurors was proceeded with and they were impanneled and sworn with but little interruption. Colonel SHAW then proclaimed aloud that he was ordered by Governor STEVENS to stop that Court, and ordered his men to advance and seize the Judge. The Deputy Marshal had been ordered to prevent the entry of armed men within the bar, but not to cause bloodshed. He was forced out of the way. I requested the gentlemen of the bar—who had risen much excited—to keep their seats, and the next moment my chair was surrounded by armed men. I had been told that many citizens were present armed with revolvers, and fearing that in the excitement some one might be shot down, I directed the Crier to adjourn the Court which he did. I then left the bench surrounded and followed by the Lieutenant and his men.

At the foot of the stairs another company was drawn up in line. After a short delay, I was taken, together with Mr. CHAPMAN, Clerk of the District, who had been seized in the Court-room with me, and the records taken into possession and afterwards restored, and carried through the counties of Pierce and Thurston, in which last county no martial law had then been proclaimed, to Olympia, to the office of the Governor. I was then informed by him that I was no longer in the custody of the guard. Mr. CHAPMAN was detained in Olympia till Saturday and then released. On Monday, the 12th instant, the term of the District Court in the Second District commenced at Olympia. On Tuesday afternoon, after adjournment, a petition for a writ of habeas corpus was filed before me at chambers, setting out that seven persons, naming them, were held in confinement at Olympia by Governor STEVENS, under the charge of giving aid and comfort to the enemy. The writ of *habeas corpus* was issued, and returned served by the Marshal. Early on Wednesday a proclamation of martial law, of which I inclose you a copy, was found posted up. The Court continued in session undisturbed through Wednesday. A rule was granted, to show cause why an attachment should not issue, to which no attention was paid.

Attachment then issued on this; the Marshal returned that in an attempt to serve it, he had been forcibly resisted by Governor STEVENS.

At this time the same Company employed in breaking up the Court at Steilacoom came to the building under captain. The Marshal was directed to keep them out. After waiting some little time the door was broken in, the officer showing a written order which he said was from Governor STEVENS. The room was filled with armed men, the Deputy Clerk and myself taken from it, marched down the street and halted in front of the Governor's Office. The officers went in, and coming out, informed me that if I would pledge myself to hold no more Courts while the martial law is in force, I could be released. As I could not accede to this, I told him so. I presume he so informed the Governor, for again coming out, he told me that by the Governor's order I was to be taken to Camp Montgomery, to which place I have been brought, leaving Olympia in an hour after the seizure, and still remain here. Much unfinished business of importance was left undone on the docket at Olympia, the same as at Steilacoom.

I have stated the progress of this hearing at Olympia more fully, as no copy of the proceedings can be had from there.

The Captain, officers and privates of the Company, selected for the purpose of thus enforcing Martial Law, are all residents of Oregon, though at this time in the service of Washington. There are companies of the citizens of Washington Territory in service as volunteers, but the militia of the Territory has never been called on as such. I have thought proper to follow, also, in detail these transactions, certainly not for the purpose of complaining of personal indignity. I can feel no source of personal degradation, though exhibited as a public spectacle in the streets, kept waiting at the door of a Governor's office, nor, in being, placed in confinement in common with men charged with treason. Personally these are very small matters, but as everything tends to degrade and lower a Judge or Court in the public mind, is, of itself important, these few facts assume importance and have been mentioned. I believe I have furnished by plain statements as I could of everything that has been done, without feeling. As before stated, I have felt impelled to do it contrary to my own wishes.

It may not be improper to add that a military commission of five volunteer officers is now sitting at this camp, to try these of the prisoners for whom a writ of Habeas corpus was issued. The charge is, "giving aid and comfort to the enemy," with their specifications, the particulars of which I do not pretend to state. As to the condition of the Indian war in Pierce County at the time of the proclamation of martial law, occupied as I have been in a county forty miles north of it, I can make no statements from personal observation, and therefore wish to say nothing. It seems to me that the reporting of the officer commanding the U. S. troops at Fort Steilacoom, within a mile of the county seat, would be the best authority.

The reasons set forth for the proclamation of martial law, as shown in the documents themselves forwarded with this communication, would seem to show that in both the instances martial law was proclaimed after the writ of habeas corpus had been issued, simply to insure the trial of men charged with what seems to be "treason," before a military tribunal. As an excuse for this, no dereliction of duty can be charged upon any Court officer, nor any weakness in the administration of the law, as no steps have ever been taken to bring these men before the civil authorities. The right of the Executive to abrogate all civil law is believed to by many citizens. What the law is, cannot be known through the proper channels. Neither Court nor Judge can have the opportunity to declare what is the law. Silenced, or, enforced by arms, Courts cannot sit to determine it, nor Judges rise to hear arguments. Public meetings will not be resorted to, and published statements will never be made by me to declare my views or to define my position. Placed here to administer the law, I hope to be able to do so without prejudice at the proper time. I need not revert to the gravity of these acts of the Executive. The facts shown by this narrative and the proclamation speak for themselves—no language of mine can add to them. Since these transactions, I have again examined the course I have deemed it my duty to pursue, and can only regret that I had not in some instances acted more promptly—and while I also most deeply regret the present condition of affairs, exhibiting, as it does, in direct opposition to each other two branches of this Government, yet I cannot feel that it was my duty to have acted differently; had I done so I should, in my own opinion, have been unworthy of the place I hold; unfaithful to the trust I have undertaken to perform.

I am, with respect,

EDWARD LANDER,

Chief Justice, W. T.

NEW-YORK CITY.

BOARD OF COUNCILMEN.

The Board of Councilmen met last evening, President FINCHNEY, in the Chair.

Among referred petitions, was one of sundry persons for flagging Thirtieth-street, between Sixth and Seventh avenues.

Several resolutions were also referred. One, of a very amusing and satirical character to dance in all great jets in the City and County of New-York, in order to provide with the materials, firewood for the poor during the Winter, and also that insurance policies under $500 each, may be offered to Commissioners. This resolution, of which the mover is a sycophant, was offered by Mr. ARMENT, another to curb, gutter and flag Second-avenue from Fifty-third to Seventy-seventh-street, another to curb, gutter and flag Second-avenue from Twenty-seventh-street and Fifty-third-street, another to curb, gutter and flag Fifty-third-street, from the east side of Tenth-avenue to the North River, and another in favor of repairing the roof of the Park of Monument, and the Board of Supervisors, also Committee of the Whole

COURT OF [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[